

Council Assembly (Ordinary)

Tuesday 26 January 2016

7.00 pm

Council Offices, 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2QH

Supplemental Agenda No.2

List of Contents

Item No.	Title	Page No.
1.5. Minutes		1 - 61
	To approve as a correct record the open minutes of the council assembly meeting held on 25 November 2015.	

Contact

Lesley John on 020 7525 7228 or 020 7525 7222 or email: lesley.john@southwark.gov.uk;
andrew.weir@southwark.gov.uk; constitutional.team@southwark.gov.uk

Date: 22 January 2016



Council Assembly (Ordinary Meeting)

MINUTES of the Council Assembly (Ordinary Meeting) held on Wednesday 25 November 2015 at 7.00 pm at Council Offices, 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2QH

PRESENT:

The Worshipful the Mayor for 2015/16, Councillor Dora Dixon-Fyle MBE (Chair)

Councillor Evelyn Akoto
Councillor Anood Al-Samerai
Councillor Jasmine Ali
Councillor James Barber
Councillor Radha Burgess
Councillor Sunil Chopra
Councillor Fiona Colley
Councillor Neil Coyle
Councillor Stephanie Cryan
Councillor Helen Dennis
Councillor Nick Dolezal
Councillor Karl Eastham
Councillor Gavin Edwards
Councillor Paul Fleming
Councillor Tom Flynn
Councillor Lucas Green
Councillor Renata Hamvas
Councillor Barrie Hargrove
Councillor Jon Hartley
Councillor Helen Hayes
Councillor David Hubber
Councillor Peter John
Councillor Ben Johnson
Councillor Eleanor Kerslake
Councillor Sarah King
Councillor Anne Kirby
Councillor Sunny Lambe
Councillor Octavia Lamb
Councillor Lorraine Lauder MBE

Councillor Maria Linforth-Hall
Councillor Richard Livingstone
Councillor Rebecca Lury
Councillor Vijay Luthra
Councillor Eliza Mann
Councillor Hamish McCallum
Councillor Darren Merrill
Councillor Victoria Mills
Councillor Michael Mitchell
Councillor Jamille Mohammed
Councillor Adele Morris
Councillor David Noakes
Councillor Damian O'Brien
Councillor James Okosun
Councillor Leo Pollak
Councillor Lisa Rajan
Councillor Sandra Rhule
Councillor Martin Seaton
Councillor Rosie Shimell
Councillor Andy Simmons
Councillor Johnson Situ
Councillor Michael Situ
Councillor Charlie Smith
Councillor Cleo Soanes
Councillor Kath Whittam
Councillor Bill Williams
Councillor Kieron Williams
Councillor Mark Williams
Councillor Ian Wingfield

1. PRELIMINARY BUSINESS

1.1 ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE CABINET OR CHIEF EXECUTIVE

Following the by-election on 15 October 2015, the Mayor welcomed Councillor Octavia Lamb to her first meeting of council assembly.

The cabinet member for finance, modernisation and performance, Councillor Fiona Colley, made an announcement on the government's comprehensive spending review and its impact on Southwark.

1.2 NOTIFICATION OF ANY ITEMS OF BUSINESS WHICH THE MAYOR DEEMS URGENT

The Mayor agreed to accept two late community evidence submissions from Step Up and Construction Youth Trust and a late motion on the Housing and Planning Bill.

The Mayor formally moved the programme motion.

Councillor Anood Al-Samerai, seconded by Councillor David Noakes, moved that the programme motion be amended in order to receive a deputation from Southwark Youth Council. Following debate (Councillor Peter John), the amendment was put to the vote and declared to be lost.

The programme motion was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

RESOLVED:

1. That the meeting be conducted as follows:

Item 2.2 - Public question time

Meeting to hear from one public questioner.

Item 3 - Themed debate

Community Evidence Submissions

To receive submissions from the group listed in the themed section of the agenda and two late submissions:

- InSpired to Work
- STEP UP – The Upwardly Mobile Network
- Construction Youth Trust.

Five minute presentation from each group, followed by upto five minutes for questions from members (one question from each group).

Themed motion

To give consent of the meeting to an alteration to the themed motion as follows:

In point 8 after “encourage the take up of apprenticeships”, add a new bullet point:

- Continue working collaboratively with the voluntary and community sector (VCS) to secure employment for the borough’s most vulnerable residents, and recognise the role of volunteering in Southwark for leading to employment opportunities.

Themed debate (45 minutes)

1. Councillor Ian Wingfield, cabinet member for business, employment and culture (7 minutes).
2. Councillor Hamish McCallum, opposition spokesperson, to speak on the motion and move amendment (5 minutes).
3. Theme open to debate by all other councillors (30 minutes).
4. Councillor Ian Wingfield’s right of reply to the debate (3 minutes).

Item 4 – Deputations

- Meeting to agree to hear a deputation from Arnold Estate tenants and residents association.
- To vary the order of business to hear the Arnold Estate deputation prior to consideration of the motion 5.2.1, i.e after members’ question time.
- Meeting to agree not to hear the remaining deputations listed in the agenda.

Item 5.2 - General Motions

- To accept a late motion on the Housing and Planning Bill.
- General motions to be taken in order set out in agenda followed by the late motion.
- Each motion to have a single debate, subject to the guillotine.

Item 5.2.2 – Motion from Councillor Jon Hartley – End cuts to policing in London

To give the consent of the meeting to an alteration to the motion as follows:

In paragraph 1 **delete** second sentence beginning “At the upcoming spending review...”

Revised paragraph 1 to read:

As a result of the spending review in 2010 the Metropolitan Police have faced cuts of almost £600m, totalling 20% of its budget. ~~At the upcoming spending review it is widely expected that the Metropolitan Police Service will face a minimum of another £800m in cuts with the media reporting the budget could be cut by as much as 43%.~~

Delete paragraph 2.

Delete paragraph 11.

At the end of paragraph 12 **insert:** “, particularly in light of the Chancellor’s announcement that there will be no further budget cuts to policing this year.”

Revised paragraph 12 to read:

To call on the Metropolitan Police Commissioner to engage with local authorities to find alternatives to the badly thought-out proposals to scrap neighbourhood PCSOs, particularly in light of the Chancellor’s announcement that there will be no further budget cuts to policing this year.

2. That relevant council assembly procedure rules be suspended:

- CAPR 1.6 Variation in order of business
- CAPR 2.7(10) Deadline for receipt of community evidence submissions
- CAPR 1.14(4) Single debate
- CAPR 2.10(3) Deadline for receipt of members motions.

1.3 DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS

Motion 5.2.5 - Trade Union Bill

Councillor Sarah King stated that Councillors Gavin Edwards, Paul Fleming and Victoria Mills wished to declare a disclosable pecuniary interest in this item as they or their partner worked for a trade union.

Item 6.1 - Revised Canada Water AAP

At 10.14pm and just prior to the meeting considering this report, Councillor Helen Hayes declared an interest in the item as she had previously been employed by a company that had worked in the Canada Water area. She stated that she intended to withdraw from this item when it was considered.

1.4 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received on behalf of Councillors Maisie Anderson, Catherine Dale and Jane Lyons. Apologies for lateness were received on behalf of Councillors James Barber, Neil Coyle, Paul Fleming, Helen Hayes, Victoria Mills and Adele Morris.

1.5 MINUTES

RESOLVED:

That the open minutes of the ordinary and extraordinary meetings held on 8 July 2015 be agreed and signed as a correct record.

2. ISSUES RAISED BY THE PUBLIC

2.1 PETITIONS

There were no petitions.

2.2 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

(See page 1 of supplemental agenda 3 and green papers circulated at the meeting)

There was one question from the public, the answer to which had been circulated on green paper at the meeting. The public questioner asked a supplemental question of the cabinet member for finance, modernisation and performance.

3. THEMED DEBATE - EMPLOYMENT AND LOCAL ECONOMY

3.1 COMMUNITY EVIDENCE

(See pages 2 – 3 of supplemental agenda 3)

The meeting agreed to receive submissions from the following:

InSpired to Work

Council assembly heard community evidence from InSpired to Work. The following members had questions of the submission: Councillors Lorraine Lauder and Ben Johnson.

At the close of the questioning, the Mayor then thanked InSpired to Work for their submission.

Step Up – the Upwardly Mobile Network

Council assembly heard community evidence from Step Up – the Upwardly Mobile Network. The following members had questions of the submission: Councillors Maria Linforth-Hall and Ian Wingfield.

At the close of the questioning, the Mayor then thanked Step Up – the Upwardly Mobile Network to Work for their submission.

Construction Youth Trust

Council assembly heard community evidence from the Construction Youth Trust. The following members had questions of the submission: Councillors Mark Williams and Anood Al-Samerai .

At the close of the questioning, the Mayor then thanked the Construction Youth Trust to Work for their submission.

3.2 MOTION ON THE THEME

MOTION ON THE THEME – EMPLOYMENT AND LOCAL ECONOMY *(see pages 1 - 3 of the main agenda)*

As part of the programme motion the meeting consented to the following alteration to the motion:

In point 8 after “encourage the take up of apprenticeships”, add a new bullet point:

- Continue working collaboratively with the voluntary and community sector (VCS) to secure employment for the borough’s most vulnerable residents, and recognise the role of volunteering in Southwark for leading to employment opportunities.

The deputy leader and cabinet member for business, employment and culture, Councillor Ian Wingfield, presented the motion on the themed debate.

Councillor Hamish McCallum, the majority opposition group spokesperson, responded to the cabinet member’s motion and proposed Amendment A.

Following debate (Councillors Gavin Edwards, Sunny Lambe, James Okosun, Jasmine Ali, Anood Al-Samerai, Karl Eastham, Damian O’Brien, Leo Pollak, Sunil Chopra and Kieron Williams), the deputy leader and cabinet member for business, employment and culture, Councillor Ian Wingfield, responded to the debate.

Amendment A was put to the vote and declared to be lost

The revised motion was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

RESOLVED:

1. That supporting a strong local economy, improving skills and employment opportunities, and in particular helping some of Southwark’s most vulnerable residents to access jobs is key for the council’s plan to achieve a fairer future for all.
2. That despite the severe cuts to the council’s funding imposed on Southwark over the last five years under the Tory/Liberal Democrat coalition government, which continue to be imposed under the Tory government, this administration has continued to invest in the borough; growing the local economy, building more homes and creating more jobs and opportunities for local people.

3. That council assembly regrets that instead of supporting people into work, the Government is continuing the coalition's policies of penalising working families by cutting tax credits, hitting more than three million families in work who will lose £1,300 next year on average, and cutting Employment and Support Allowance pushing hundreds of thousands of sick and disabled people further away from employment.
4. That council assembly welcomes the steps this administration has taken to create employment opportunities for local people, including:
 - Supporting 1,450 residents into jobs since May 2014, including nearly 300 people with a range of complex barriers to employment including physical and mental health issues, caring responsibilities and other barriers.
 - Delivering employment support to residents in partnership with local and national organisations, including InSpire St Peters, St Giles Trust, Pecan, Royal Mencap and South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust.
 - Promoting employer led skills provision through initiatives like the business forum and the creation of a new construction skills centre, due to open next year.
5. That council assembly welcomes the council's support for local businesses, including:
 - Supporting 24 business projects with £382,000 through the High Street Challenge.
 - Supporting Southwark employers to access £268,000 worth of finance to help their business to grow.
 - Providing direct access to council services for businesses through the council's online business portal.
 - Supporting small local businesses to take on young people through the Southwark Employment and Enterprise Development Scheme (SEEDS), helping local employers to stimulate business growth and generating sustainable jobs and apprenticeships for young people.
6. That council assembly welcomes this administration's commitment to giving young people in Southwark the opportunity to fulfil their potential by:
 - Creating 2,000 new apprenticeships to give young people the opportunity to develop their skills and become work-ready.
 - Guaranteeing opportunities for every school leaver in Southwark to learn, work or train through the youth guarantee.
 - Introducing the Southwark apprenticeship standard to guarantee quality

apprenticeships and ensure secure employment, a fair wage, quality training provision and mentoring support for apprentices.

7. That council assembly also welcomes the council's partnership work with neighbouring boroughs Lambeth and Lewisham, bringing in excess of £1 million worth of funding into the three boroughs to get residents up-skilled and into work through the 'pathways to employment' project, and establishing a joint committee to deliver a joined-up approach to employment and skills.
8. That council assembly calls on the cabinet to:
 - Continue work on the youth guarantee, to ensure every young person in Southwark has the opportunity for employment, education or training.
 - Continue to invest in skills directly through council apprenticeships, and work with local businesses and partners to expand apprenticeships, particularly focusing on industries like construction where there are job opportunities in the borough.
 - Work in partnership with businesses and organisations in the borough to promote the London Living Wage, and encourage the take up of apprenticeships.
 - Continue working collaboratively with the voluntary and community sector (VCS) to secure employment for the borough's most vulnerable residents, and recognise the role of volunteering in Southwark for leading to employment opportunities.
9. That council assembly recognises that national employment programmes do not always provide the right support at the right time to help people with more acute needs get back into work in places like Southwark. Therefore council assembly calls on the cabinet to work with other local authorities to campaign for greater devolution of employment and skills funding and powers to local government.

Note: This motion will be referred as a recommendation to the cabinet for consideration.

4. DEPUTATIONS

(See pages 5 - 8 of supplemental agenda 3)

As part of the programme motion the meeting:

RESOLVED:

That the deputation from Arnold Estate tenants and residents association be received.

Arnold Estate tenants and residents association

The deputation's spokesperson, Brefne Jowers, addressed the meeting.

Councillors Eliza Mann, Hamish McCallum, Richard Livingstone and Anood Al-Samerai asked questions of the deputation. Councillors Ian Wingfield and Richard Livingstone made points of personal explanation.

Thereafter the deputation returned to their seats in the public seating area.

As part of the programme motion the meeting

RESOLVED:

That the following deputations be not heard:

- A group of local residents about the Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) advisory forum
- Southwark Youth Council regarding youth services
- Rotherhithe and Bermondsey youth community council members / Odessa Youth Club Members regarding youth services
- Southwark Trades Union Council regarding youth services
- A group of young people from the Peckham area regarding youth services.

5. ISSUES RAISED BY MEMBERS

5.1 MEMBERS' QUESTION TIME

(See pages 1 - 6 of the supplemental agenda 1 and the lilac and salmon papers circulated at the meeting)

There was one late question to the leader of the council, the written response to which was circulated on lilac paper at the meeting. Two supplemental questions were asked of the leader of the council.

There were 39 members' questions, the written responses to which were circulated on salmon paper at the meeting. There were 12 supplemental questions.

5.2 MEMBERS' MOTIONS

MOTION 1 – ARNOLD ESTATE WARM, DRY AND SAFE WORKS PROGRAMME *(See page 5 of the main agenda)*

This motion was considered prior to the guillotine having fallen.

Councillor Hamish McCallum, seconded by Councillor Eliza Mann, moved the motion.

The Mayor stated that there were two amendments to the motion.

Councillor Lucas Green, seconded by Councillor Eleanor Kerslake, moved Amendment B.

Councillor Ben Johnson, seconded by Anood Al-Samerai, moved Amendment C.

Following debate (Councillors David Noakes, Richard Livingstone, Peter John, James Barber and Nick Dolezal), Councillor Hamish McCallum exercised his right of reply.

Amendment B was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

Amendment C was put to the vote and declared to be lost.

The substantive motion was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

RESOLVED:

That council assembly:

1. Welcomes the improvements currently underway on the Arnold Estate as part of the warm, dry and safe programme.
2. Notes that although the kitchens and bathrooms programme was brought forward to April 2015, the warm, dry and safe works on the Arnold Estate started before that, so it was not possible to bring the kitchens and bathrooms programme into the scope of the work.
3. Notes that the council is spending £303.9m on housing investment works during 2015/16, which is significantly more than the council has ever spent before in a year.
4. Regrets however that the impact of the Chancellor's post-election decision to impose rent reductions on councils and housing associations without compensation, which will result in a net loss of £62m to the HRA over the next four years, unfortunately means that the council has to slow the proposed major works programme from that originally envisaged.
5. Notes that no decision has yet been taken on when the kitchens and bathrooms work will take place at the Arnold Estate, as all proposals from 2017/18 onwards are currently being consulted on and a final decision will not be taken until March 2016, and therefore asks that cabinet consider carefully the views on the programme set out by the tenants and residents of Arnold Estate carefully before taking its decision in March.
6. Calls on the cabinet to ensure that despite the significant financial challenge, the kitchens and bathrooms programme is still delivered to all council properties, including the Arnold Estate.

Note: This motion will be referred as a recommendation to the cabinet for consideration.

REVISED MOTION 2 – END CUTS TO POLICING IN LONDON *(See pages 5 - 6 of the main agenda)*

As part of the programme motion the meeting consented to the following alteration to the motion:

In paragraph 1 **delete** second sentence beginning “At the upcoming spending review...”

Revised paragraph 1 to read:

As a result of the spending review in 2010 the Metropolitan Police have faced cuts of almost £600m, totalling 20% of its budget. ~~At the upcoming spending review it is widely expected that the Metropolitan Police Service will face a minimum of another £800m in cuts with the media reporting the budget could be cut by as much as 43%.~~

Delete paragraph 2.

Delete paragraph 11.

At the end of paragraph 12 **insert:** “, particularly in light of the Chancellor’s announcement that there will be no further budget cuts to policing this year.”

Revised paragraph 12 to read:

To call on the Metropolitan Police Commissioner to engage with local authorities to find alternatives to the badly thought-out proposals to scrap neighbourhood PCSOs, particularly in light of the Chancellor’s announcement that there will be no further budget cuts to policing this year.

This motion was considered prior to the guillotine having fallen.

Councillor John Hartley, seconded by Kath Whittam, moved the revised motion.

At 10.05pm the Mayor announced that the guillotine had fallen.

The guillotine having fallen, Councillors David Hubber and David Noakes formally moved and seconded Amendment D.

Amendment D was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

The substantive motion was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

RESOLVED:

That council assembly notes:

1. As a result of the spending review in 2010 the Metropolitan Police Service has faced cuts of almost £600 million, totalling 20% of its budget.
2. The ‘safer neighbourhood team’ (SNT) model introduced by the previous Labour Mayor was widely welcomed by communities and saw each ward allocated a dedicated team of six officers (one sergeant, two police constables (PCs) and three police community support officers (PCSOs)).
3. The introduction of Boris Johnson’s local policing model dismantled SNTs reducing

them to just a single dedicated PC and PCSO per ward, demonstrating the impact of a Tory Mayor on London and Londoners' safety.

4. Since May 2010, as a result of government cuts, London has lost 3,170 dedicated neighbourhood PCSOs, a cut of over 70% compared with May 2010. In Southwark 110 PCSOs have been lost since 2010.
5. In December, the Metropolitan Police Service management board will consider proposals to scrap neighbourhood PCSOs all together, resulting in a loss of over 1,000 officers if approved. In Southwark this proposal could mean losing all of the few remaining PCSOs in the borough.
6. That whilst PCSOs have been informed of the Metropolitan Police Service intention to make this decision, there has been no meaningful consultation with boroughs, the public or PCSOs about the impact of scrapping PCSOs.

That council assembly believes:

7. The introduction of neighbourhood policing teams transformed local policing, increased public confidence and provided a integral link between communities and the police.
8. The £600 million of cuts handed down from government have devastated the police service in the capital despite promises from the Mayor of London and Home Secretary that they would not hit the frontline.
9. Proposals to scrap all of London's neighbourhood PCSOs will have a profound impact on the shape of London's police force and should be subject to full public consultation if approved by the Metropolitan Police Service management board.

That council assembly resolves:

10. That the council consult residents on any proposed changes to its own community warden scheme.
11. To call on the Metropolitan Police Service Commissioner to engage with local authorities to find alternatives to the badly thought-out proposals to scrap neighbourhood PCSOs, particularly in light of the chancellor's announcement that there will be no further budget cuts to policing this year, including retaining at least one dedicated PCSO in each of the 21 wards across Southwark, as well as keeping the existing structure.
12. To call on the Mayor of London to set out the true impact of government cuts and engage in meaningful consultation with Londoners about the future of policing in the capital, including the option of not reducing the council tax precept next year to ensure PCSO numbers can remain at current levels in Southwark by raising extra funding for the Metropolitan Police.

Note: This motion will be referred as a recommendation to the cabinet for consideration.

MOTION 3 – GREATER LONDON NATIONAL PARK CITY CAMPAIGN (See pages 6 -

7 of the main agenda)

The guillotine having fallen, Councillors James Barber and Rosie Shimell formally moved and seconded the motion.

Councillors Renata Hamvas and Sunny Lambe formally moved and seconded Amendment E.

Amendment E was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

The substantive motion was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

RESOLVED:

That council assembly:

1. Congratulates the council on the amount of open and green space available and maintained for residents in Southwark.
2. Acknowledges the health, environmental and leisure benefits of parks, green spaces and water in built-up urban areas.
3. Notes that Southwark has a large number of parks and green spaces we can be immensely proud of, including a record number of Green Flag parks, and that resident satisfaction with Southwark parks is very high.
4. Notes that parks in Southwark already have the planning protection required to ensure that they are not under threat.
5. Notes that Southwark is a leading borough in London for parks and green spaces and that the council is continuing to invest in parks open spaces, while many local authorities are scaling back investment because of budget cuts.
6. Welcomes the council's work to ensure that standards in Southwark parks continue to improve against a very difficult backdrop of central government cuts.
7. Notes that there is no real detail on the potential benefits and risks of a Greater London National Park City for local authority parks and it is not yet clear what the implications of the proposals would be on Southwark's parks and open spaces.
8. Calls on the cabinet to ensure that at a time of ever-growing budgetary pressure, the council focuses on protecting investment in Southwark's parks and green spaces, continuing to improve standards and fulfilling the manifesto promise to increase the number of Green Flag parks in the borough.

Note: This motion will be referred as a recommendation to the cabinet for consideration

MOTION 4 – EXTEND THE 42 BUS ROUTE (*See page 7 of the main agenda*)

The guillotine having fallen, Councillors Lorraine Lauder and Tom Flynn formally moved and seconded the motion.

Councillors Damian O'Brien and Eliza Mann formally moved and seconded Amendment F.

Amendment F was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

The substantive motion was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

RESOLVED:

1. That council assembly notes that the 42 bus route is a key route in Southwark linking the south-west to the north-east of the borough and providing a much needed direct link north across the river for our residents.
2. That council assembly recognises that residents in Camberwell and Walworth currently have to put up with an inadequate 42 bus service, which is unreliable and overcrowded, with people often being left at bus stops. This route does not run enough services and currently terminates at Sunray Avenue rather than the Sainsburys, which makes it difficult for residents travelling to the shop who have mobility issues or small children.
3. That council assembly therefore calls on cabinet to lobby Transport for London:
 - To deliver more frequent and reliable services
 - To turn the route into a double decker in order to increase capacity for passengers
 - To extend the southern terminating point to Sainsburys East Dulwich
4. That council assembly further notes that Transport for London has already announced a consultation on the extension of the 42 bus route to Sainsbury's East Dulwich for next month, including whether a double-decker is supported by both passengers and local residents.

Note: This motion will be referred as a recommendation to the cabinet for consideration

MOTION 5 – TRADE UNION BILL *(See page 7 of the main agenda)*

Councillors Gavin Edwards, Paul Fleming and Victoria Mills having declared a disclosable pecuniary interest in this item withdrew from the meeting taking no part in the vote upon the matter.

The guillotine having fallen, Councillors Charlie Smith and Sarah King formally moved and seconded the motion.

Councillors Anood Al-Samerai and David Noakes formally moved and seconded Amendment G.

Amendment G was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

The substantive motion was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

RESOLVED:

1. That council assembly recognises the positive contribution that trade unions and trade union members make in our workplaces. This council values the constructive relationship that we have with our trade unions and we recognise their commitment, and the commitment of all our staff, to the delivery of good quality public services.
2. That council assembly notes with concern the Trade Union Bill which is currently being proposed by the government and which would affect this council's relationship with our trade unions and our workforce as a whole. Council assembly rejects this bill's attack on local democracy and the attack on our right to manage our own affairs.
3. That council assembly further notes that human rights groups Liberty, Amnesty International and the British Institute of Human Rights have all condemned the Bill as an attack on the basic right to protest and that the Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development has branded this law an 'outdated response that could have potentially counter-productive consequences.'
4. That council assembly is clear that facility time, negotiated and agreed by us and our trade unions to suit our own specific needs, has a valuable role to play in the creation of good quality and responsive local services. Facility time should not be determined or controlled by government in Westminster.
5. That council assembly is happy with the arrangements we currently have in place for deducting trade union membership subscriptions through our payroll. We see this as an important part of our positive industrial relations and a cheap and easy to administer system that supports our staff. This system is an administrative matter for the council and should not be interfered with by the UK government.
6. That council assembly resolves to support the campaign against the unnecessary, anti-democratic and bureaucratic Trade Union Bill.
7. That council assembly calls on cabinet to support the campaign against the unnecessary, anti-democratic and bureaucratic Trade Union Bill and to seek to continue its own locally agreed industrial relations strategy and take every measure possible to maintain its autonomy with regard to facility time and the continuing use of check-off.

Note: This motion will be referred as a recommendation to the cabinet for consideration

MOTION 6 – TRANSATLANTIC TRADE AND INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (See pages 8 - 9 of the main agenda)

The guillotine having fallen, Councillors Gavin Edwards and Helen Dennis formally moved and seconded the motion.

Councillors Anood Al-Samerai and David Noakes formally moved and seconded Amendment H.

Amendment H was put to the vote and declared to be lost.

The substantive motion was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

RESOLVED:

1. That council assembly notes that there has been no impact assessment about the potential impact of a Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), currently being negotiated by the EU and USA, on local authorities, and that there has been no scrutiny of the negotiating texts by local government and no consultation with local government representatives.
2. That council assembly believes that TTIP could have a detrimental impact on local services, employment, suppliers and decision-making. In particular, TTIP could effectively prevent public services from being brought back in-house, which could have a negative impact on Southwark where significant improvements have been made by bringing services back in-house, such as the council's revenues and benefits service in 2011.
3. That council assembly notes that Labour MEPs have been campaigning to ensure that, should a trade agreement between the EU and the USA be concluded, it does not in any way limit the ability of public authorities, whether at local, national or European level, to act for the public interest. Council assembly welcomes the amendments to the recently adopted European parliament resolution successfully moved by Labour MEPS for a full exclusion of all public services, present or future, from the scope of the agreement, as well as a clear rejection of any type of measures that could undermine public authorities' autonomy and sovereignty, including at local level, and their commitment to veto any agreement that fails to address these concerns.
4. That council assembly believes that a thorough impact assessment of TTIP on local authorities must be undertaken before the negotiations can be concluded.
5. That council assembly calls on the cabinet to:
 - Write to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, local MPs and London MEPs, raising our serious concerns about the impact of TTIP on local authorities and the secrecy of the negotiating process.
 - Write to the Local Government Association to raise our serious concerns about the impact of TTIP on local authorities and ask them to raise these with government on our behalf.
 - Join with other local authorities and local campaigners to raise awareness about our concerns over TTIP and call for an impact assessment on the impact of TTIP on local authorities.

Note: This motion will be referred as a recommendation to the cabinet for consideration

LATE MOTION – THE HOUSING AND PLANNING BILL *(See pages 56 - 57 of*

supplemental agenda 2)

The guillotine having fallen, Councillors Richard Livingstone and Ben Johnson formally moved and seconded the motion.

The motion was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

RESOLVED:

That council assembly notes:

1. That the Housing and Planning Bill is currently being debated in Parliament, and if passed would threaten the provision of affordable homes for rent and buy through:
 - a) forcing 'high-value' council homes to be sold on the open market
 - b) extending the right-to-buy to housing association tenants, and
 - c) undermining Section 106 requirements on private developers to provide affordable homes.
2. That there is no commitment in the Bill that affordable homes will be replaced like-for-like in the local area.
3. That whilst measures to help first-time buyers are welcome, the 'starter homes' proposals in the Bill will be unaffordable to families and young people on ordinary incomes in most parts of the country, will not preserve the taxpayer investment and will be built at the expense of genuinely affordable homes to rent and buy.
4. That the Bill undermines localism by taking new wide and open-ended powers for the Secretary of State over councils and local communities, including the ability to override local plans, to mandate rents for social tenants, and to impose a levy on stock-holding councils, violating the terms of the housing revenue account self-financing deal.
5. That the Bill, whilst introducing some welcome measures to get to grips with rogue landlords, does not help with the high rents, poor conditions and insecurity affecting many of England's private renters - including one in four families with children - and does nothing to help arrest the recent rise in homelessness.

That council assembly calls on cabinet:

6. To analyse and report on the likely impact of the forced sale of council homes, the extension of right-to-buy and the 'starter homes' requirement on the local availability of affordable homes.
7. To analyse and report on any further likely impacts of the Bill on Southwark.
8. To use this information to:
 - a) write to the Secretary of State with our concerns regarding the Bill
 - b) set up an urgent meeting between the leader of the council and the chief executive with the local members of parliament to raise our concerns, and

- c) make public our concerns, including by publishing the above information on the council's website and promoting through the local press.

Note: This motion will be referred as a recommendation to the cabinet for consideration.

6. REPORT(S) FOR DECISION FROM THE CABINET

6.1 REVISED CANADA WATER AREA ACTION PLAN (RCWAAP)

(See pages 10 – 89 of the main agenda)

At 10.14pm and just prior to the debate on this item, Councillor Helen Hayes declared an interest in this item as she had previously been employed by a company that had worked in the Canada Water area. She withdrew from the meeting and took no part in the debate and vote upon the matter.

This report was considered after the guillotine had fallen, therefore in accordance with council assembly procedure rule 1.12 (3) and (4), the report was afforded up to a maximum of 15 minutes.

In accordance with council assembly procedure rule 2.11 (1), Councillor Mark Williams, cabinet member for regeneration and new homes, moved the report.

Following debate (Councillors Lisa Rajan, Stephanie Cryan and David Hubber), Councillor Mark Williams exercised his right of reply.

The recommendations contained within the report were put to the vote and declared to be carried.

RESOLVED:

1. That the Planning Inspector's report on the Revised Canada Water Area Action Plan (Appendix A of the report), be noted.
2. That the Revised Canada Water Area Action Plan (Appendix B of the report) and the Revisions to the Adopted Policies Map (Appendix C of the report), as amended by the Inspector's main modifications (Appendix D of the report) and the council's minor modifications (Appendix E of the report), be adopted.
3. That the Sustainability Appraisal (Appendix F of the report), Sustainability Appraisal Statement (Appendix G of the report), Equalities Analysis (Appendix H of the report), Consultation Report (Appendix I of the report) and Appropriate Assessment (Appendix J of the report), be noted.

Note: The cabinet recommendation had not been amended, therefore in accordance with the budget and policy framework procedure rule 2 (e), the decision can be implemented with immediate effect.

7. REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

7.1 REPORT BACK ON MOTIONS REFERRED TO CABINET FROM COUNCIL ASSEMBLY

(See pages 90 - 97 of the main agenda)

RESOLVED:

That the report be noted.

8. OTHER REPORTS

8.1 LICENSING ACT 2003: STATEMENT OF LICENSING POLICY 2015 - 2020

(See pages 98 - 111 of the main agenda and supplemental agenda containing appendices, pages 1 - 151)

This report was considered after the guillotine had fallen, therefore in accordance with council assembly procedure rule 1.12 (3) and (4), the report was afforded up to a maximum of 15 minutes.

In accordance with council assembly procedure rule 2.11 (1), Councillor Renata Hamvas, chair of the licensing committee, moved the report.

Following debate (Councillors Adele Morris and Charlie Smith), Councillor Renata Hamvas exercised her right of reply.

The recommendations contained within the report were put to the vote and declared to be carried.

RESOLVED:

1. That the council's three current special cumulative impact policy areas (as set out below), be maintained within the Southwark statement of licensing policy for 2015-2020:
 - Borough and Bankside
 - Camberwell
 - Peckham
2. That the contents of Table 1 (see pages 101 and 102 of the report), be incorporated within the Southwark statement of licensing policy 2015-2020, as this authority's recommended appropriate operating hours for the guidance of applicants for new licences.
3. That subject to resolutions (1) and (2) above, the draft Southwark statement of licensing policy 2015-2020, attached at Appendix C to the report, be adopted as the policy of this council as licensing authority for the area, with effect from 1 January

2016.

4. That officers carry out a review of the current special cumulative impact policy areas, including detailed consideration as to whether cumulative impact policies continue to be appropriate and necessary, and report back to the licensing committee.
5. That it be noted that the above decisions have had regard to the responses to the public consultation exercise on the revision of the Southwark statement for licensing policy for 2015-20 (Appendix A of the report), together with the further analysis provided by the partnership analyst and the council's Environmental Protection Team (Appendices B and C respectively) accompanying the report.

8.2 GAMBLING ACT 2005: SOUTHWARK STATEMENT OF GAMBLING LICENSING POLICY 2016-2019

(See pages 112 - 118 of the main agenda and supplemental agenda containing appendices, pages 152 - 201)

This report was considered after the guillotine had fallen, therefore in accordance with council assembly procedure rule 1.12 (3) and (4), the report was afforded up to a maximum of 15 minutes.

In accordance with council assembly procedure rule 2.11 (1), Councillor Renata Hamvas, chair of the licensing committee, moved the report.

Following debate (Councillor Adele Morris), the recommendations contained within the report were put to the vote and declared to be carried.

RESOLVED:

That the continuation of the Southwark statement of gambling licensing policy for 2013-2016 (as revised at Appendix A of the report) beyond 31 January 2016 be agreed, pending a full review of the policy document in the light of the publication of the fifth edition of the Gambling Commission guidance to local licensing authorities (September 2015).

8.3 TREASURY MANAGEMENT - MID YEAR UPDATE 2015/16

(See pages 119 - 131 of the main agenda)

The meeting sent its best wishes to Karsan Varsani, finance officer, who had recently been admitted to hospital.

This report was considered after the guillotine had fallen, therefore in accordance with council assembly procedure rule 1.12 (3) and (4), the report was afforded up to a maximum of 15 minutes.

In accordance with council assembly procedure rule 2.11 (2), the report was formally moved by the Mayor.

Following debate (Councillors Fiona Colley and Hamish McCallum), the recommendations contained within the report were put to the vote and declared to be carried.

RESOLVED:

1. That the 2015/16 mid-year treasury management update be noted.
2. That the progress made in establishing the London Local Government Pension Scheme Collective Investment Vehicle (the CIV) be noted.
3. That it be noted that the strategic director of finance and governance, after taking advice from the pensions advisory panel, will invest an estimated £150,000 in the CIV, which is required to meet the regulatory capital requirements needed for Financial Conduct Authority approval to operate.
4. That it be noted that the strategic director of finance and governance, will enter into contractual arrangements with the CIV on behalf of the pension fund.
5. That it be noted that the strategic director of finance and governance may, following consultation with the chair of the pensions advisory panel, take decisions to transfer pension fund assets to the CIV as set out in the report.

8.4 APPOINTMENT OF RETURNING OFFICER AND ELECTORAL REGISTRATION OFFICER

(See pages 132 - 134 of the main agenda)

This report was considered after the guillotine had fallen, therefore in accordance with council assembly procedure rule 1.12 (3) and (4), the report was afforded up to a maximum of 15 minutes.

In accordance with council assembly procedure rule 2.11 (2), the report was formally moved by the Mayor.

The recommendations contained within the report were put to the vote and declared to be carried.

RESOLVED:

1. That Duncan Whitfield be appointed as Southwark Council's Returning Officer and Electoral Registration Officer.
2. That Frances Biggs be appointed as Deputy Electoral Registration Officer.

8.5 CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES 2015/16

(See pages 135 - 138 of the main agenda)

This report was considered after the guillotine had fallen, therefore in accordance with council assembly procedure rule 1.12 (3) and (4), the report was afforded up to a

maximum of 15 minutes.

In accordance with council assembly procedure rule 2.11 (2), the report was formally moved by the Mayor.

The Mayor explained that there were two elements to the report and the meeting would consider the appointments to committees first.

The Mayor stated that one nomination had been received for the position of chair of the standards committee, Councillor Catherine Dale.

No further nominations were forthcoming, therefore the nomination was put to the vote and council assembly appointed Councillor Catherine Dale as chair of the standards committee for the remainder of the municipal year 2015/16.

The Mayor stated that one nomination had been received for the position of vice chair of the Camberwell Community Council, Councillor Octavia Lamb.

No further nominations were forthcoming, therefore the nomination was put to the vote and council assembly appointed Councillor Octavia Lamb as the vice chair of the Camberwell Community Council for the remainder of the municipal year 2015/16.

The remaining recommendations contained within the report were put to the vote and declared to be carried.

RESOLVED:

Appointments

1. That Councillor Catherine Dale be appointed as the Chair of the Standards Committee for the remainder of the 2015/16 municipal year.
2. That Councillor Octavia Lamb be appointed as the Vice-Chair of the Camberwell Community Council for the remainder of the 2015/16 municipal year.

Cabinet member question time

3. That the change of date and format of the March 2016 meeting of council assembly, as set out in paragraphs 19 - 21 of the report, be agreed.
4. That a cabinet members' question time be held on the same evening of council assembly on 16 March 2016, in line with the principles and format as set out in paragraphs 11 - 18 of the report.

9. AMENDMENTS

Amendments are set out in supplemental agenda 3, pages 9 – 16.

EXCLUSION OF PRESS AND PUBLIC

RESOLVED:

That the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in category 3 of paragraph 10.4 of the access to information rules of the Southwark Constitution.

MINUTES**RESOLVED:**

That the closed minutes of the extraordinary meeting of council assembly held on 8 July 2015 be agreed and signed as a correct record.

The meeting closed at 10.44pm.

CHAIR:

DATED:

COUNCIL ASSEMBLY

(ORDINARY)

WEDNESDAY 25 NOVEMBER 2015

PUBLIC QUESTIONS

1. QUESTION FROM LIAM CROSBY TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, MODERNISATION AND PERFORMANCE

Globally \$2.6 trillion has been divested from fossil fuels on environmental and financial grounds. Southwark Council invests 60 million in fossil fuels, including 1.5 million in a tar sands company. Will the council listen to 600 plus petitioners asking them to protect pensioners, people, and the environment by reviewing investments in fossil fuels?

RESPONSE

The first priority of Southwark Council's pension fund is to ensure that the council is able to meet its commitments to our staff - past and present - to provide them with their pensions. We have a responsibility to minimise running costs, maximise returns and importantly protect council tax payers from the risk of future costs of the pension fund. We take this responsibility very seriously and therefore, take professional investment advice to ensure our fund is best placed to meet this.

However, in this context we also take seriously our opportunity to be a socially responsible investor and therefore take an approach of active engagement with the companies we invest in. The Southwark Council pension fund is a member of the Local Authority Pension Fund Forum (LAPFF) allowing us to work hand in hand with 64 other local authority pension funds, with combined assets of over £175 billion. As a group, local authority funds are now amongst the most important domestic asset owners, and typically account for a significant slice of the ownership of UK-listed companies. The powerful influence exercised by such funds acting together as shareholders on issues of common concern has considerable potential in relation to companies where they invest. Membership in LAPFF ensures Southwark Council's pension fund is represented in challenging particular policy stances and is at the forefront of pro-active engagement with oil, gas and resources companies.

There are clearly competing views on whether ongoing shareholder activism or one-off divestment is the most effective way investors can have a positive impact in the fight against climate change. With this in mind I have invited members of Fossil Free Southwark to meet with me and the strategic director of finance and governance to discuss this issue.

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION FROM LIAM CROSBY TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, MODERNISATION AND PERFORMANCE

Well thank you for your offer to meet with us, look forward to that and we really agreed that this other pension fund needs to focus on commitments to staff and avoiding costs to taxpayers. Given that Suncor Energy in which the pension fund has a direct investment is going into tar sands even at the time when Shell Oil and the US government are pulling out on the grounds of financial and environmental

risk, wouldn't you agree that investment such as that pose a real risk not just to the environment but also to the finances of Southwark Pension Fund?

RESPONSE

Thank you for your question and thank you indeed that you and your group are going to come in to meet with me. Since your group has raised issues about Suncor investments with us, we have got in touch with Newton, our investment managers and it is they, as our fund managers to take these investment decisions rather than the Council's Pension Advisory Panel itself, to ask them for a lot more detail on why they believe Suncor are good investment for this council pension fund. In their assessment they see Suncor as a medium risk. Now I've got a very, very long explanation, I'm not going to read it out now because we'll be here all night but which I'm very happy to discuss at the meeting. I think there is a matter principle about us not trying to second guess our fund managers but I agree it is important to ask these questions of our managers as indeed I did last time, so I suggest we talk about it in more detail at our forthcoming meeting.

COUNCIL ASSEMBLY**(ORDINARY)****WEDNESDAY 25 NOVEMBER 2015****LATE QUESTION****1. QUESTION FROM COUNCILLOR ANOOD AL-SAMERAI TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL**

What was the purpose and outcome of last week's developers' 'bidding' event at the council offices?

RESPONSE

The main purpose of the Bidders' Day was to generate interest in the council's Southwark Regeneration in Partnership Programme (SRPP). Increased interest in our programme and competition in the market should lead to better value for money, new investment and employment for local people.

The programme was agreed by cabinet in October 2015. 19 sites across the borough have been identified for development for new homes and other regeneration opportunities. These have been divided into two lots (A & B) and we are currently undertaking a procurement exercise to appoint two development partners. We expect to announce these in summer 2016. The event was an opportunity to discuss the individual sites and the feasibility work undertaken to date with potential developers and emphasise our commitment to building 11,000 new council homes. We also launched the council's design guide which was approved by cabinet in October and demonstrates our aspirations for strong design across all developments.

Bidders' days are good practice in terms of procurement and we have held them on previous high value procurement projects such as the Aylesbury in 2011 and Building Schools for the Future in 2009.

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION 1 TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR ANOOD AL-SAMERAI

Thank you very much to the leader for his answer. I've got the lot that he refers to - the site that was on display at this auction bidding day thing last week. I suppose my concern is the Seven Islands Leisure Centre site being there, given residents have been assured there will be a consultation about the future site of the leisure centre and Seven Islands is still one of those options, so I just wondered whether including it in the lot therefore means that the consultation can't seriously consider a leisure centre remaining on that site.

RESPONSE

Thank you Madam Mayor. Thank you Councillor Al-Samerai for your supplemental question. No I don't think it does mean that. My understanding is that the lots are set out at the moment but sites can fall in and out of those lots during this process through the rest of this year so it coincides effectively with the consultation process that will take place. It is right that the cabinet member for regeneration and new homes has indicated that the council does have a preferred site which would move the leisure centre much further in to the heart of the new town centre at Canada

Water and that is a move that we believe will be in everybody's interest.

I think it is remarkable actually at a time like this that we are talking about opening new leisure centres fresh from just having opened a new library. The new leisure centre at Elephant and Castle will be open in the new year and we are talking about opening a new leisure centre at Canada Water at a time when other local authorities are closing some of these facilities and services for their residents

And what is more, I should remind members that as of next July, there will be a universal free swim and gym offer for all residents in our borough. Labour once again delivering on the promises we made to the electorate in 2014.

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION 2 TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR ANOOD AL-SAMERAI

Thanks for that and I suppose my original question was about the developers, the sort of session that you had and not just particularly at that event but generally. You do see a lot of developers coming and going in this building and it sometimes feels a bit like they're getting a lot of time of councillors and officers spent with developers and I am not saying development is a bad thing. I suppose my worry is where residents get that time and attention. For example, at the leisure centre, there has been a lot of discussion with British Land about where it goes but not so much with residents or with you. We heard about different housing sites TRAs only finding out by tweet that their TRA hall is being considered and so I just wondered what the leader can personally do to try and I suppose redress that balance a bit about making sure that the residents are actually shaping some of these planning decisions and it's not just conversations in this building between planning officers and developers.

RESPONSE

I think we are a council that has demonstrated over time that we are committed to community engagement as with community conversations, from innovative approaches that we have taken to resident engagement, that we are absolutely committed to residents, helping to form the future for our local authority and I am sure if you look into it, the time I and cabinet members spend talking to residents, TRAs and other community groups far outweighs the time that we spend talking to the development world. But a couple of points just to take into account though. You know we are very ambitious in terms of delivering new homes in our borough. We have been since 2010 and we have a proud record. I think we should all take pride in that record because some of the delivery started quite frankly under the previous administration. I don't think we should want to disown some of the big projects that are being delivered on at the moment but we have a proud record of delivering new homes across our borough and we will carry on doing that and that means that we do have to talk to builders who are going to build these homes and deliver these. I know it's a shocking fact but we have to do that in order to deliver these homes. We could turn our back on the development world but I think that would be a grave mistake because that would not address the housing supply crisis that we have in our borough. I'm sure that you're not suggesting we should do it. The other point I would just make though as well in terms of resident involvement, we are all representatives of the residents we serve in our individual wards and in our individual communities and should not think that we are here in some other capacity. We are elected as the resident representatives for Southwark and in May last year they elected 48 Labour resident representatives for this borough and we will carry on serving them to the best of our ability championing their interests and their causes through to 2018 and I hope beyond.

COUNCIL ASSEMBLY
(ORDINARY)
WEDNESDAY 25 NOVEMBER 2015
MEMBERS' QUESTIONS

1. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR BEN JOHNSON

Can the leader update councillors on progress with building new council homes through the Leathermarket Community Benefit Society?

RESPONSE

The council resolved last year to enter into an agreement for lease and in turn grant a lease to the Leathermarket CBS to enable them to build 27 homes on the Kipling Estate, to be let at council rents.

The Leathermarket CBS submitted a planning application for the development of the scheme on 1 July 2015; this application will be determined shortly.

I will consider a report in December that will authorise the payment of £9.7 million to the Leathermarket CBS in the form of a grant agreement. This will 'gap fund' the project and enable the homes to be built. This report has been subject to some delay to enable the council to resolve and address certain governance issues. A further report that authorises £290,000 of interim funding to the CBS has similarly been delayed for the same reasons but I hope that we will be able to release this funding shortly.

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR BEN JOHNSON

Thank you Madam Mayor. I would like to thank the leader for his detailed response and these delays are very persuading. It is local residents who want to build homes, helping the council hit its target, it is hugely important to me, to residents and to my ward colleagues and I'd like to thank Councillor Livingstone for coming to the CBS AGM to discuss these delays. My question is what lessons have been learnt on this project so that similar innovative community housing schemes can proceed smoothly and rapidly without this disappointing dither and delay?

RESPONSE

I would like to thank Councillor Johnson. It is a frustrating process and I think we all share some frustration but the other side of the coin is that we do have to get the governance of this right because it is really important. It sets a precedent, it gets the Leathermarket effectively operating as a home builder and we want to see it effectively carry on investing the proceeds of this build into the next phase and on to the next phase, so it is really important we get the governance right and we hammer out those issue at this early stage. It has taken longer than anticipated. It has also if we are honest, a slight reflection of the reality of cuts across our local authority. We have got fewer officers doing more and more and sometimes that causes delay and unless sometimes we are standing there saying this is the number 1 priority, something else which is hammering out to be the number 1 priority gets that attention. But look we are

there now I think. I believe some money is likely to be released either tomorrow or the day after to the JMB but I think the lessons that would have been learnt is that there will be a very good template in place for governance going forward for this kind of decision making and how we work with community builders like Leathermarket. This is so exciting; we all want to get it absolutely right. We don't want it set up to fail and I think this extra time we will have taken we will get it right and it will mean that we will succeed and again we can all as representatives of this community in Southwark, go away and take pride in what our community is helping us deliver in terms of affordable housing for the residents of our borough.

2. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR JANE LYONS

Given the leader of the council is aware of the magnificent work Link Age Southwark (formerly Dulwich Helpline and Southwark Churches Care) does to support more than 500 older people in Southwark every year and that its work is highly valued by Southwark's CCG, please can he therefore set out what support his administration will give to assist the rehousing of the charity Link Age Southwark when its current office space at Dulwich Hospital is no longer available?

RESPONSE

The council values the work of Link Age Southwark and Officers in Adult Social care will be happy to meet with them, to discuss their future plans, should they wish.

Link Age Southwark is one of many local charities that benefit and enrich our borough. If they are concerned about their future accommodation needs then through our helpful infrastructure arrangements with Community Action Southwark (CAS), the sector locally which is in receipt of £24 million from the council (through grants and contracts this year) may be able to assist them. We are encouraging local charities to work together, including shared premises, to minimise costs, releasing more funding to support their good work

We recognise that finding new premises will be challenging and our Property and Regeneration teams will endeavour to assist Link Age Southwark by exploring opportunities for vacant commercial and business premises to let within the council's estate.

I have asked officers to make contact with the organisation to discuss their accommodation requirements.

3. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR TOM FLYNN

Can the leader confirm what steps the council is taking to ensure residents in Southwark do not lose their vote under the new individual electoral registration system, pushed through by the last Liberal Democrat/Conservative government?

RESPONSE

It is anticipated that one million people will disappear from the electoral register nationally on 1 December this year due to the introduction of Individual Electoral Registration (IER), pushed through by the last Liberal Democrat/Conservative government. The government has ignored independent advice to wait until the end of 2016 to fully implement IER, so that any difficulties could be ironed out. The transition to IER is currently set to be the biggest disenfranchisement in our history, which will particularly impact people from the BAME communities, the unemployed and lower-

paid manual workers, private renters and the younger generation. In Southwark the council is taking all necessary steps to ensure equal opportunity for all our residents in participate in the democratic process.

The council conducts an annual canvass of all residential properties in Southwark. Individual Electoral Registration has introduced an additional level of complexity to the existing registration process, as registrations must now be made for all residents individually through the IER provisions. Households in Southwark receive a household enquiry form during the annual canvass in the summer, asking for information on the residents in the property and if there are new residents, an invitation to register (ITR) will be sent out to each new person. It is only on the completion and return of the ITR that a new resident becomes registered.

It is clear from the above that this is a complicated change to the electoral registration process. Many residents have received a number of different letters, as a result of attempts to ensure that every eligible resident is on the register. This sometimes results in multiple mailings and complicated messaging. It is important to note that the process of communication has largely been regulated, with prescribed forms to be used and recommended texts to be used when communicating with the public.

The transition process during 2014 put in place a process whereby most electors already on the register were confirmed as being correctly on the register, through a data matching process with DWP records. In Southwark the DWP match rate was 62%, which was increased to 79% when a further matching process was conducted with local data sets. All steps involved in the transition to IER have been followed in Southwark, including identifying those at risk of no longer being included on the register of electors. A specialised canvass process has been devised to encourage and enable the student population to register, as well as those living in residential care homes.

The Electoral Registration Officer (ERO) and the electoral services team take the responsibility of compiling and maintaining a complete and accurate register very seriously, and continued efforts have been made to contact and communicate with all residents in the borough who are not on the register, and who may be eligible to be registered.

The council has worked with the ERO to make IER as easy as possible for residents. An innovative example of this is the incorporation of the electoral registration process within the My Southwark Account registration, which means that residents are able to register to vote at the same time as they register for Southwark services. This is a local example of the way in which electoral registration could be mainstreamed within other council transactions.

One group of electors who may be at risk of no longer being registered is the 'unconfirmed group' of electors. This group which will be removed from the register as a result of the completion of the transition process at the end of November 2015, as laid out in the Transition Order.

The register is a dynamic document with considerable change, as the IER process beds in. The number of unconfirmed electors has reduced during the transitional period – for example on 12 January 2015 there were 26,532 unconfirmed electors on the register, approximately 13% of the register, compared with 8,140 electors currently in this group of electors, which comprises approximately 4% of the register. The difference reflects the amount of work done on identifying electors who have either moved out of Southwark and therefore should not be on the register, or who could not

be confirmed through the IER procedures but who have subsequently registered under IER. All unconfirmed electors have been written to with an ITR, and had their properties visited by a personal canvasser. Where we have held email addresses, these unconfirmed electors have been sent emails.

The ERO is working with the cabinet office during 2016 and onwards, to devise practical ways of making sure that the register is complete and accurate, and that all eligible residents are fully registered through the IER process. Southwark has put forward a pilot proposal which will focus on identifying the churn in population, and ensuring that all new residents are given the opportunity to be registered, and that all eligible residents are indeed registered. This proposal highlights the need to identify those properties where change in residence has occurred, and then identifies appropriate steps to be taken to enable those residents to apply to be registered.

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR TOM FLYNN

Thank you Madam Mayor and can I thank the leader for his answer. It is welcome to hear that the council is doing everything possible to ensure that Southwark residents do not lose their opportunity to participate in our democratic process. Does the leader agree that it is completely disingenuous for the opposition to try to blame peers in the Lords for the catastrophic introduction of individual electoral registration when it was their government that pushed through those proposals which could see a million people losing their opportunity to vote?

RESPONSE

I want to thank Councillor Flynn for his supplemental question and I do agree with him. It is disingenuous for the government to effectively abandon responsibility for this. This is really important because the people, the one million people who are at risk of falling off our electoral register are the people that most need our representation, most need their voices to be heard, so I know I am sure again looking across all parties in this chamber that everyone here will be doing their best to ensure that people do register to vote and take up individual registration so they are not disenfranchised and their voices do continue to be heard but the government really has made a mess of this I think and we are again as ever in local government are having to pick up the pieces.

4. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR ANOOD AL-SAMERAI

Will Southwark be joining the Mental Health Challenge campaign?

RESPONSE

Southwark Council strongly supports this campaign. The council has agreed to appoint a mental health champion, whose role will include championing the work already going on in the borough as well as working with the Public Health Department and others on how to raise the profile of mental health across the system.

There are other ways to keep mental health and wellbeing on the agenda too, such as championing mental health on equal footing with physical health in the work of the Health and Wellbeing Board (HWB), and encouraging all councillors to take HWB issues to heart in their policy areas.

The Southwark Health and Wellbeing Strategy covers much of the commitment outlined in the challenge in it aims to promote mental wellbeing and resilience across its strategic priorities including raising awareness and tackling stigma across society

and services. Likewise the CCG is committed to parity of esteem between physical and mental health.

The council works closely with the CCG on commissioning mental health services and promoting good mental health care in primary care and both are committed to tackling inequalities in health and services. Public Health have contributed to a lot of this work in recent years in terms of needs assessment and the evidence base.

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR ANOOD AL-SAMERAI

Thank you to the leader for his answer. I have to say I worry a bit sometimes that there is a bit of complacency about engagement with residents and I think the answer to the previous question hinted at that and the fact that we are turning away young people from speaking at council assembly worries me about our level of engagement and mental health is somewhere more than any other area that has troubled me and I just want to remind the leader that at the March council assembly we all voted for an amendment which called on him to meet urgently with the day centres, to produce a report for the cabinet and to increase the level of funding for local mental health services and treatment. As far as I know, those things haven't happened and certainly mental health centres in this borough have closed and so I just wonder if he would let us know what he has done since that March council assembly to really prioritise mental health as an issue.

RESPONSE

I want to thank Councillor Al Samerai for her supplemental question. I am tempted just to say in terms of turning away young people, she had an opportunity to ask an urgent question and she didn't choose youth services tonight. That would have been a legitimate way of raising the concerns those young people had this evening. But in terms of mental health issues, I have set out in my answer the work that we have done and I have led since March. Councillor Cryan leads on this obviously in the local authority. These are challenging times for services such as mental health and we need to ensure that they are given the priority they need. I welcome the work of the early action commission - the work we have seen across Lambeth and Southwark which prioritised mental health as an issue and the work we do with those suffering from mental health issues within it and seeking to ensure that we prioritise early action, preventative work and work in the community as part of our budget making process. So I will be pleased to take that forward as part of our response to this question. But it is a priority for us. It is a priority within the competing priorities that we have to deal with but I welcome the fact that she has raised this as a question this evening. I welcome the fact that we talk about mental health issues in the way that we are and it is not an issue that we are afraid to discuss or afraid to debate and as I say, I will ask Councillor Cryan to respond fully in due course to the work that she has been leading on since she took over the portfolio in May.

5. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR MICHAEL MITCHELL

In drawing up the New Southwark Plan, did the leader consider the work of "Create Streets" who are producing practical solutions to the need for increased density of housing without recourse to high rise buildings? If he rejects this way forward, can he say why?

RESPONSE

In their paper of October 2013, Create Streets identifies a number of issues with modern high density living and identify what they called 'barriers' to creating streets. Their vision of 'streets' appears to favour subdividing land to maximise the creation of streets and creating narrow-fronted houses fronting onto the streets – what they call 'street-based homes'.

They are concerned that councils are insisting on developments made up of large impermeable blocks because they insist on lifetime homes and wheel-chair accessible homes in developments; reduced car parking on streets; the use of lifts for housing and wheel-chair accessible housing; and bike storage, which are all labelled as 'barriers'.

There is little we can do about high land values. However, we do not see the above as 'barriers' but additional things that schemes have to deliver to achieve high quality developments that serve mixed and balanced communities and improve our streets.

Creating new streets are an important aspect of our plan and a regeneration model that we have used successfully at Canada Water, the Heygate, and the Aylesbury where we insisted on new routes and frontages to improve access and permeability.

These so called 'barriers' to creating streets are all things which the New Southwark Plan overcomes, by requiring new developments to focus on streets and routes, prioritising the delivery of: active street frontages with front doors on streets; generous, accessible and safe public realm; a good mix of housing especially family housing; high quality wheel-chair accessible housing; and, where tall buildings are appropriate, requiring exceptional quality of design not only in external appearance but also in the standards of accommodation including private and communal amenity.

6. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR HELEN DENNIS

What impact does the leader believe the government's proposals for housing associations and the sale of high value council properties will have on council housing in Southwark?

RESPONSE

The government's proposals to fund right to buy for housing association tenants through the sale of high value council homes could have a devastating effect on council housing in Southwark.

The Housing and Planning Bill, which was introduced to Parliament on 13 October 2015, provides extremely limited information on the extension of the right to buy to housing association tenants and on the sale of high value council sales. In respect of housing association right to buy grants these matters are instead devolved to the Homes and Communities Agency (and the Greater London Authority in London). No details have yet been published on how these will operate in practice.

With regard to vacant high value council homes, the bill states that the Secretary of State 'may make a determination' as to the annual level of payment required from local authorities. The bill also enables the Secretary of State to make regulations setting out the definition of high value council housing. The bill does not provide any detail as to what these definitions might be.

As such it is currently very difficult to undertake any detailed modelling on the impacts of the government's proposals in Southwark. However there are very real concerns that given our high land values, limited site availability, and lack of commitment on the government's part to ring fencing of receipts raised within the area within which they are generated, that many replacement homes will instead be built in cheaper (and lower demand) areas of the country. Given the bill's silence on tenure, there is a further concern that many of the replacement homes will not be social homes at council or equivalent rents but could be 'affordable rents', 'shared ownership' or even 'starter (home ownership) homes' which are not affordable to those on low incomes.

The mechanism for funding housing association right to buy will be based on requiring local authorities to make an up front payment based on an estimated level of sales of high value council homes over the forthcoming year. Current indications are that these estimates will be based on historic levels of housing turnover and sale prices. We are currently experiencing a slow down in housing supply, and therefore would urge the government to ensure a degree of flexibility regarding any payment mechanism to take account of unforeseen circumstances.

Any loss of social rented lettings are likely to result in increased levels of households in temporary accommodation, with both social and financial impacts, and an increase in the housing benefit bill.

These policies will also ultimately impact on our housing investment programme, both in delivering the new housing supply and in improving the condition of the existing housing stock. We have a long tradition of effectively using our assets to improve the housing conditions of the borough, and requiring us to hand over our receipts to the Treasury will hamper our ability to do so.

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR HELEN DENNIS

I find the proposed legislation reiterated once again today in the spending review very concerning and it could have a devastating impact in a ward like Chaucer where we still retain significant council housing stock but where the value of homes as we all know is increasing by the day. Does he agree this legislation is incredibly centralising and disempowering for local authorities and could he outline how he is working with other council leaders to oppose and if needed feed in amendments to the Housing and Planning Bill as it goes through Parliament?

RESPONSE

Can I thank Councillor Dennis for her supplemental question. Well Southwark together with other central London authorities and other authorities across our capital have been feeding in amendments which have been taken forward by Labour members of Parliament on this issue. It really is a very worrying piece of legislation, made more worrying by the fact that I had a phone call from an official of DCLG this afternoon which doesn't happen very often, to tell me that we were lucky in Southwark in that we were going to be one of the 24 pilot boroughs for housing association right to buy given that the London & Quadrant is one of the five housing associations that has signed up to be one of the pilot housing associations for right to buy and so from midnight tonight, any London & Quadrant tenant in Southwark will be able to register their interest in order to buy their housing association property. I was told that we wouldn't have to as a council fund this particular phase of right to buy but you know it is going to be extremely worrying to see what the response of residents is to this.

I do not know or understand how this government's obsession with home ownership begins to address the real problem with housing supply, which is the one that is crippling London and crippling our country but particularly acute in London and it doesn't seem to me that there is anything which this government is doing to actually address the housing supply issue, forcing us to sell stock, forcing housing associations to sell stock at the time when we know it is difficult really to bring forward new schemes and deliver new schemes and the time that it takes to deliver new housing, it just seems to be completely bonkers, if I might say, this approach is not a sensible approach at all to the issue of tackling housing supply. The other point just to mention, a very bizarre meeting that I had gone to with Brandon Lewis last week with other council leaders and chief executives to talk about the Housing Planning Bill. I think it was one of those meetings where he can tell Parliament, I have met with council leaders and that box has been ticked, completely pointless. He left about 15 minutes into the meeting but I am not sure what he gleaned out of it but this is a government which is utterly obsessed with home ownership as the answer to all housing problems. I disagree with that view. It is what officials and what ministers tell you in meetings, it is all about home ownership and delivering home ownership and that is their simple agenda, so thank goodness, thank goodness for councils like ours, which are standing up and saying no. Council housing, social housing is part of the answer and we will carry on delivering those 1500 new council homes by 2018 and 11,000 by 2043. Thank goodness for us protecting along with other local authorities, protecting mixed communities, protecting mixed housing across our borough.

7. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR ADELE MORRIS

Can the leader update us on the situation with the 44 new social rent homes to be provided by Notting Hill Housing Trust that should have been included in The Exchange development at Bermondsey Spa?

RESPONSE

The council has investigated the alleged breach of the Section 106 Agreement on The Exchange development and has taken legal advice. As a result the council will not be taking any further action on this matter.

However, the Notting Hill Housing Trust has undertaken to deliver more affordable housing of the types that meet the urgent needs of the borough on other sites. For example, they have improved the offer of affordable housing on the Manor Place Depot site so that 34 units that were previously intended to be affordable rent set at 56.7% of market rent for 1 bed units and 61.6% of market rent for 2 bed units (inclusive of service charges), capped at Local Authority Housing Allowance Levels, will now be social rented accommodation where the rent levels are determined through the national rent regime.

We are working with Notting Hill Housing Trust to identify ten additional social rent homes elsewhere in the borough.

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR ADELE MORRIS

Thank you Madam Mayor and I thank the leader for his answer. Obviously It was an unfortunate situation and I am glad that we have been able to at least do some negotiation with Notting Hill to partially repair that but in going forward from here, what lessons do you think have been learnt and how can we make sure that something like this doesn't happen again?

RESPONSE

I want to thank Councillor Morris for her supplemental question. I think this does highlight a very worrying practice potentially, not just by Notting Hill but other developers as well, where we are told that affordable housing is being delivered in one form and it is not delivered in that way. I think it is down to all of us actually at this time to be vigilant and to ask the questions about what happened to that affordable housing that was promised there? And I do not say that that is a single obligation of some planning officer in this council. It is the responsibility of all of us particularly as we move to seeing even more homes being delivered across our borough. Because it does strike me and it is unacceptable quite frankly that some builders, some developers will try to wheedle out of their obligations or move the goalposts and unless or until they are challenged on it they will quite happily carry on. So we do need to be absolutely firm as a local authority. As I say, the duty of ensuring that we highlight these cases and bring them to book quite frankly I think is all of our responsibility. We will carry on doing as well as we can but I am grateful always for any information or intelligence that anyone brings forward.

8. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR OCTAVIA LAMB

The opening of the new Camberwell library is a great achievement for the council and the local area, particularly at a time when many other local authorities are having to consider reducing their library services. Can the leader confirm that the cabinet will continue to invest in Southwark's library services despite the significant budget cuts facing the council?

RESPONSE

The council is continuing its investment in the library service and is very proud to honour its commitment in keeping all its libraries open.

The new Camberwell library is proving to be very popular and in its first week of opening saw 6,343 visits and 5,093 items of stock issued, more than double the level of use for this time last year at the old library.

An exciting programme of events is underway and the library welcomed well known authors including Lionel Shriver and Dorothy Koomson. Libraries are at the heart of community life in Southwark and an essential part of our Fairer Future for All commitment to make our borough a great place to live. The new Camberwell Library is the latest achievement in the ongoing libraries modernisation programme. This follows the stunning success of Canada Water Library which opened in 2011 to national acclaim. We are also developing plans to relocate East Street Library with a new facility as part of the Aylesbury regeneration scheme as well as proposals for a major new library, heritage and civic building on Walworth Road.

In addition to this our refurbishment programme has improved services at John Harvard, Dulwich, and Blue Anchor libraries. We have also invested substantially in new technology including RFID self-service technology, freeing staff to provide more help and support to customers as well as providing free internet access at all libraries and free Wi-Fi at ten of our 12 locations.

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR OCTAVIA LAMB

Thank you Madam Mayor. Thank you for your response. It is fantastic to hear that the cabinet is fully committed to maintaining and refurbishing the borough's libraries and investing in the provision of free Wi-Fi in the libraries. Your response details the number of visits in the first week, nearly 6,500. What has been the ongoing response from people to the opening of a brand new library in the middle of their community?

RESPONSE

Can I thank Councillor Lamb for her supplemental question and welcome her to the labour benches and welcome her as a councillor in South Camberwell, undoubtedly the best ward in the best borough. So she is one of the three best councillors in the world; there we are - how to not make friends! The response has been fantastic and I think as has already been commented upon by Councillor Williams and others this evening, you just have to see people in the libraries and the truly smiley faces of Camberwell residents who never thought it would happen. You know, the library in Camberwell Church Street was a post-war temporary facility which managed to last nearly 70 years. Amazing, amazing that previously there was an old Victorian library in Camberwell, I think it was Victorian or Edwardian. I just wanted to say, I think it is remarkable that Southwark Council did not replace that library in 70 years. We as a Labour authority have delivered a new library again at this time of austerity for residents in our borough - the best borough in the best city in the best country in the world.

9. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR DAVID NOAKES

Can the leader of the council confirm the expected revenue on a monthly and yearly basis for the new Castle Leisure Centre and what the projected profit or loss is expected to be on running the centre on a yearly basis?

RESPONSE

Due to the current on-going procurement process for the tendering of the Leisure Management contract it is not possible to comment on this question at this time as this would compromise the procurement process.

10. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR VIJAY LUTHRA

Can the leader give an update on the work the council is doing to crack down on illegal sub-letting of council homes?

RESPONSE

Southwark is in the top quartile for number of properties recovered – more than 1,000 since 2012 – as well as recovery as a percentage of stock. We have been nationally recognised as a leader in this area of work and received several awards.

The council has the following systems in place to tackle social housing fraud:

- Fraud and validation officers based in housing options who carry out fraud checks at the point of entry

- Resident services officers carry out a tenancy check every two years programme with 79.5% tenancy checks carried out over 18 months to September 2015
- Special investigations team carry out complex investigations resulting in defended trials and have identified two suitable cases for prosecution under the legislation making illegal subletting a criminal offence
- All right to buy applications are checked and visited where necessary as this has been identified as an emerging fraud risk with applications increasing due to the increase in the discount offered
- Continuing to publicise successful cases in the media
- The bi-annual National Fraud Initiative data matching results.

Our approach is to ensure that we prevent fraud from occurring in the first instance as it is less costly and time consuming than taking action after the event. This proactive approach is resulting in fewer 'straightforward' properties to recover. An example of proactive work is the recent launch of ILATCH, a new web based anti-fraud tool. Members of the public and estate agents can use ILATCH to check if a property is a part of the council's housing stock and is being illegally sublet. This then alerts the council that a property may be advertised as available to rent. We are then able to respond quickly to avoid deposits being exchanged and illegal subletting from taking place. Again, this will prevent fraud from happening in the first instance and vulnerable people from being exploited.

11. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR CLEO SOANES

Can the leader confirm that the plans for the Peckham multi-storey car park will support the local creative industry and enable the council to deliver on its promise to create new affordable studio and workshop spaces?

RESPONSE

On 6 November 2015, the council announced that Pop Community Ltd have been selected as interim manager of the multi-storey car park in Peckham for the next five years and will be turning it into a mixture of artist studios and workshops, and co-work spaces, along with meeting spaces, which will support a thriving community of artists, small creative businesses and local entrepreneurs.

Pop Community were selected following a public call for proposals in August 2015, in which the council announced it was looking for organisations, businesses and individuals to pitch their ideas to turn Peckham's multi-storey car park into a hot spot for creative and cultural industries and to increase local employment. Proposals were sought that focus on creating workspace for the creative industries and other arts and culture uses, and to work alongside the current uses on the top floors, Bold Tendencies Arts and Frank's Bar. There was a high level of interest in the idea with over 70 approaches from organisations and individuals interested in space within the car park, which led to a total of 14 proposals submitted. Pop Community Ltd are the team behind the innovative "Pop Brixton" community campus, and are a partnership between Carl Turner Architects and developers The Collective, and were selected following a robust process to comparatively evaluate each proposals against the criteria in the brief.

The “Peckham Levels” project will deliver over 20,000 sq. ft. of artists' studios, maker workshops and shared workspace for young creative businesses with a further 20,000 sq. ft. of gallery, performance and multi-purpose events space. In addition, there will be a small element of pop-up retail space where the artistic community can promote and sell their work, and food and beverage units for independent traders from the local area. In addition to providing much-needed affordable studio and workspace, Peckham Levels will hugely benefit the wider community:

- All members will be independent artists and businesses, drawn primarily from the local area
- Members will be selected based not only on their artistic or entrepreneurial merit, but also on their commitment to contributing positively to the community around them
- The multi-purpose event space will be available for free use by local community groups for at least 25% of the time
- 600 jobs will be created throughout the course of the project
- 10% of the profits will be used to create a community fund, for reinvestment into local businesses, community groups and projects.

12. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR JOHNSON SITU

Can the leader give an update on the regeneration projects currently being progressed in Peckham?

RESPONSE

Peckham Rye Station Square

The Peckham Rye Station square project will create the centre piece of the Peckham Regeneration programme. The project will deliver improved retail in refurbished railway arches and on Blenheim Grove. A new public square will enable the Grade II listed Peckham Rye station to be seen from Rye Lane and will provide an attractive point of arrival to the town centre. We hope this will lead to further investment in the station building, Holly Grove, Dovedale Court, Rye Lane and the wider Peckham area.

Following four CoDesign workshops, architects Landolt and Brown have developed and designed a scheme alongside the community that is reflective of the aspiration expressed in the workshops. A planning application was submitted on 23 October and is now being consulted on. The deadline for consultation responses and comments is 5 December 2015. The council anticipate that the application will be determined by February 2016. Subject to approval, construction will commence in the summer of 2016, phased to begin with the building on Blenheim Grove, followed by the demolition of the building on the south side of the square and finally demolition and completion of the north side of the square. The anticipated completion date is spring 2018.

The council have appointed an independent business advisor to provide assistance and support to businesses affected by the project.

Bournemouth Close

As part of the Peckham Rye Station Square project a number of retail tenants, mainly Afro-Caribbean hairdressers and nail bars, currently residing in the units along Blenheim Grove will need to be re-located during the construction phase. Earlier this year, Southwark Council appointed design studio Something & Son and business support specialists Tree Shepherd to work with these businesses to develop ideas for a bespoke centre for Afro-Caribbean hair and beauty in Peckham.

After a number of one to one interviews and group workshops, the team has developed 'Peckham Palms'. This new centre for hair and beauty draws its inspirations from Peckham's cultural heritage and distinctiveness and will cement Peckham's reputation as the leading destination for affordable and quality Black hair styling and beauty in London. Although some of the businesses are still anxious about how the move will affect businesses, most are looking forward to getting into their new premises.

Peckham Library Square

See answer to question 16

TfL Pedestrian Pilots

Southwark Council is working with Transport for London (TfL) to improve pedestrian safety in Peckham town centre. TfL have identified Peckham as one of two areas to take part in a pilot scheme which it is hoped will lead to safer town centres across London. This presents a great opportunity to make Peckham a safer and more accessible place for everyone. The consultant Atkins has been appointed to carry out a study looking at pedestrian movement and safety in Peckham and how this could be improved through a range of interventions. This work is funded by TfL and there is potential for further funding to address issues raised.

The initial study runs from September to December 2015 and will deliver an action plan which sets out potential strategies for improving pedestrian safety in the area. An initial community CoDesign workshop took place on Thursday 15 October and sought to gather local views on the issues affecting pedestrians in the town centre area with a second workshop held on 5 November to discuss ideas for addressing these.

Peckham multi-storey car park

See answer to question 11

Mountview, Eagle Wharf

Following the cabinet approval in July, Mountview are further developing their proposals for the site. The legal and financial agreements will be in place by mid December. Their programme anticipates a planning application by spring 2016 with an opening of the building in September 2018. Consultation will take start in early December.

Flaxyards and Copeland Road Car Park

These sites are being taken forward for housing development through the Southwark Regeneration in Partnership initiative. It is anticipated that planning applications will be submitted in Spring 2016 with completion by March 2018.

13. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR KIERON WILLIAMS (CAMBERWELL COMMUNITY COUNCIL)

What are the council's plans to ensure the best quality of further education provision in Southwark, for Camberwell specifically and how are we working to ensure that the college [Lewisham and Southwark College] does not sell off the Camberwell site ahead of those plans being agreed?

RESPONSE

I met with Carole Kitching, the new principle of Lewisham and Southwark College last month to raise my concerns about the poor performance of the college, but also to offer her the council's support for her new role and ambitions.

As you are aware, Lewisham Southwark College has caused me concern as we have not really seen any tangible evidence of sustained improvement in outcomes for learners. In the summer we wrote to the FE commissioner and the Minister for Skills suggesting a new and innovative way forward for the borough that would have involved creating a new college for our residents in partnership with schools and some of the best providers working in the field. That letter received support from some of the biggest employers in the borough. The commissioner's preferred option was to give the college yet another chance. Undeterred, we are continuing to explore how we can work in partnership with outstanding providers, employers and schools to create a world class offer for our learners.

Nationally a review of further education is taking place through a series of local area reviews. We will take an active part in the process and ensure the needs of Southwark learners, including those that live in Camberwell are represented first and foremost in that process.

In respect of the old building, I am pleased to let you know that we have liaising with the Education Funding Agency who have bought the building and site as part of their free school programme. This will enable the building to continue to be used for education purposes and a new special school.

Proposals for this site will be reported to cabinet early in the new year.

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR KIERON WILLIAMS (CAMBERWELL COMMUNITY COUNCIL)

I thank the leader for his answer to my question. We have had some criticism from the opposition this evening about the council not working with LeSoCo (Lewisham Southwark College) and I wondered if the leader could explain how we have in fact been working closely with them?

RESPONSE

Well I want to thank Councillor Williams for his supplemental question. We have been working obviously to ensure that the Camberwell site remains an educational facility and I look forward to good news about that in due course in terms of what will go there. I think it is important just to put some of our relationship with LeSoCo into context because rather than as has been portrayed by the opposition, us bringing forward some rival FE college in Southwark in opposition to LeSoCo, we were positively encouraged to bring forward a bid to take over LeSoCo by the FE Commissioner in April of this year. Now by the end of July/beginning of August, the FE Commissioner had changed his mind apparently and said he thought it was a bad idea and that he wanted LeSoCo to carry on. Now my concern is that the FE Commissioner, what is he doing knowing that an area review is coming up for London where undoubtedly one of the drivers will be the rationalisation of FE provision across our capital, that he has our college in his sights. I do not want Southwark to be left without good FE provision so I think it was absolutely right for the council to have tried to see what we could offer by either taking over at the Southwark part of LeSoCo or some other alternative provision. But we were doing effectively the FE Commissioner's bidding until he changed his mind and we will carry on working with LeSoCo now that things apparently have changed, to see what we can do to make it a better and functioning college which survives the area review next year.

I think it is important to recognise though that the college just continues to have really serious problems, really significant problems, less than 500 16 to 18-year-old residents of Southwark are at the college at this time. That is just not enough going into vocational training if we are serious about vocational training and apprenticeships being an alternative career path to university, so I think we need to understand how we can turn things around very very quickly and what I said to Carole Kitching when I met her is that she has my and our council's support with the work that she is trying to do. We will try and be as supportive as we can but I recognise that she has huge hurdles to overcome so the message I think, back to Councillor Williams, is that we want to be good friends, good partners to LeSoCo but recognising the difficulties that they have and recognising quite frankly that until the beginning of August this year, the FE Commissioner shared our concerns and was positively encouraging us to take an alternative route to the one which he decided then was not the right route.

14. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR MARTIN SEATON (BOROUGH, BANKSIDE AND WALWORTH COMMUNITY COUNCIL)

The community council notes the likely reduction in policing in Southwark and requests confirmation of what the council will do to mitigate the impact that the reduction in police numbers, particularly police community support officers (PCSOs), will have on this community council area?

RESPONSE

The government has cut the Metropolitan Police's budget by 20% and it is widely expected that the Met will face at least another £800m cuts, which will have a significant impact on policing in Southwark and across the capital.

Unfortunately, at the same time as government is cutting police numbers it is also cutting local authority budgets. It is not possible for the council therefore to step in to cover the reduction in police numbers. We are calling on the government to stop further cuts to the Met budget and lobbying where we can to keep police numbers within the borough.

We are also working with our partners in the police to innovate in the way we provide services to make the most of our reducing resources. An example of this is the Business Crime Reduction Partnership which is to be officially launched in the Walworth road area in December 2015. Further phases will include Camberwell, Peckham, Better Bankside and Team London Bridge Business Improvement Districts.

At the same time, we are working to revitalise and extend the Neighbourhood Watch programme.

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR MARTIN SEATON (BOROUGH, BANKSIDE AND WALWORTH COMMUNITY COUNCIL)

I want to thank the leader for his response to our question and hope he would also agree with me today that the Chancellor today clearly was running scared to what will be a comprehensive Labour campaign opposing tax credit reductions and indeed opposing cuts to the police service. Now the Chancellor made it clear that there would be no further cuts to police budgets this year; this is welcome however given the impact of the £600 million cut already imposed on the police budgets, will the council continue to work closely with the police to ensure that the police budget is protected in the future?

RESPONSE

I want to thank Councillor Seaton for his supplemental question on behalf of his community council area. It is one of those things that having heard the Chancellor make his statement today that I kind of don't really buy or believe. In the same statement don't forget he told us that local government would have more to spend in 2020 than we do today. Nobody believes that for a moment. Nobody accepts for a moment that our budget is going to increase between now and 2020. Does anybody seriously believe that? So I don't believe either his pledge that there will be no cuts to police. There might be no cuts in cash terms but it clearly means there will be cuts in real terms and what the other implications of that might be I don't know. But what we do have to do and what we will carry on doing is working in partnership with our local police to ensure that they provide the best police service and a police service that people want.

One of the great things over recent years has been neighbourhood policing and having a team in every ward and I think people are already feeling the absence of those dedicated ward teams and missing them and the reassurance that those very visible policing presence gave to them and I think we have to work with the Borough Commander to see what we can do to help. Whether it is guiding him or helping him and the rest of the Metropolitan Police in terms of the massive savings they have to find because as you say, there is still £600 million of savings that they have to find. We in local government, we have made that level of savings already. We have maintained our front line services so for me there is no reason why the police should not do the same - maintain their frontline services, ensuring value for money, making efficiencies but ensuring there is that visible and effective police presence that the people of our borough seek and rely upon.

15. QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR BILL WILLIAMS (BERMONDSEY AND ROTHERHITHE COMMUNITY COUNCIL)

Can the cabinet member ensure that community councils have an input in deciding the priority of projects on the community infrastructure project list (CIPL) and that priority is decided by the local community?

RESPONSE

Cabinet agreed in March 2015 that Southwark will allocate at least 25% of the Community Infrastructure Levy on projects in the local area whether there is an adopted neighbourhood plan or not. Under the Localism Act (2011) it was stipulated that the council must identify a "meaningful proportion" of Southwark CIL to be spent in the local area to ensure that those people directly affected by the development see some of the benefit. The "meaningful proportion" was defined as 25% of CIL funding where there is an adopted neighbour plan and 15% elsewhere. However the cabinet felt it was fairer for all that 25% was the adopted amount in all areas of the borough. The following sequence of areas were agreed in order to identify relevant projects:

1. Areas with adopted neighbourhood plans
2. Opportunity Areas
3. Action Areas
4. SPD areas (other than individual sites / buildings)
5. Community Council areas (i.e. those areas which are not covered by any of the above.

Lists have been created – the Community Infrastructure Project Lists (CIPL), which are project ideas created by the local community (defined as anyone who lives, works or studies in Southwark and local groups – for example, tenants and residents groups, youth groups, faith groups, community groups or sports clubs). These lists are agreed on an annual basis by the community councils. Officers are currently working with community councils to make sure that the priority of projects on the CIPL is decided by the local community and approved by that community council.

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR BILL WILLIAMS (BERMONDSEY AND ROTHERHITHE COMMUNITY COUNCIL)

Thank you Madam Mayor. I do have a supplemental. It is heartening to know our dear leader's commitment to community engagement as are the members of the Bermondsey and Rotherhithe community council. This was borne out at a panel discussion, a very successful panel discussion on community safety at the last community council so in regards to the CIL lists (Community Infrastructure Lists) can he tell us how we can further engage local residents so that their projects are taken forward?

RESPONSE

I want to thank Councillor Williams for his supplemental question and his very generous and kind reference to me within it. I think again it is about us being good councillors in our wards and in our community council areas because we can talk to residents, we can recognise in conversation with them, in discussion with them, what the good community projects are and what the projects are that should benefit from CIL and should get onto our CIL lists. Most of us do that as a matter of course anyway and encourage our residents to talk to officers at meetings and use every opportunity really to influence our decision making. Despite what many feared in 2010, we have maintained community councils, we have maintained them as a means of communication between us the local authority and the community and that is where these conversations can happen but it is other conversations, other opportunities that we need to take so that community projects are properly represented in the list that is put together for each community council area.

16. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR REGENERATION AND NEW HOMES FROM COUNCILLOR JOHNSON SITU (PECKHAM AND NUNHEAD COMMUNITY COUNCIL)

Will the cabinet member for regeneration and new homes give an update to the Peckham and Nunhead community council on the Peckham Square arch?

RESPONSE

Since December 2014, residents and local businesses have been invited to take part in a CoDesign process for the development of 91-93 Peckham High Street and improvements to Peckham Square. A total of seven workshops have been held, the last one being on the 9 November.

After discussing the options for redeveloping the square and with local residents and whether to remove or retain Peckham Arch, in July 2015 a decision was made by the council to remove the arch and develop the two new buildings. Since then, three more workshops have been held to develop options for the two new buildings to create an attractive, accessible and active gateway in to the square.

The architects, CTA, have listened closely to what local residents have said they'd like to see in this development, from the materials on the outside, the height and massing to the internal layout and uses. The proposals are also being taken forward in the context of Mountview's proposal for the theatre academy at Eagle Wharf. The final workshop was held in November and the scheme will shortly be submitted to the planning department to commence pre-applications discussions ahead of the submission of a full application early in the new year.

The key benefits of this scheme include:

- Up to 17 new homes, some of which will be council homes.
- A new co-working space at ground floor to increase employment and small business opportunities for local people.
- A new community gallery space that opens up into the square and provides a more active frontage.
- An opportunity to address a number of accessibility issues regarding ramps and stairs into the square.
- An opportunity to redesign the entrance to the square to ease pedestrian / cyclist conflicts.
- Better views through to the square making it feel better connected to the high street.
- A better environment, encouraging more people to spend time in the square rather than just pass through.

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR REGENERATION AND NEW HOMES FROM COUNCILLOR JOHNSON SITU (PECKHAM AND NUNHEAD COMMUNITY COUNCIL)

I would like to thank the cabinet member for regeneration for his answer and I am sure the community council will be hugely appreciative. It is an exciting time for Peckham and Nunhead and some may even argue it is probably one of the best parts of the borough to live in. But subject to his availability, I would like to welcome the cabinet member for regeneration to come to the community council in March, I am sure they will appreciate it. In the light of today's theme, I would like to join the cabinet member in congratulating and in commending the opening of Peckham Palms project and I would like to ask the cabinet member whether employment, as I note in his response, will be a key theme to consider for all future developments in the area?

RESPONSE

I would like to thank Councillor Situ for his supplemental. Yes, getting people into work is absolutely vital for all the schemes we are bringing forward across the borough. Indeed in Peckham itself, the Peckham Palms project which will relocate a large number of the Afro-Caribbean beauty businesses currently on Blenheim Grove that will be displaced as part of the station square works will become a real hub and a real destination for hair and beauty businesses and for residents not just in Peckham and Southwark but from across London. So that is absolutely key and will increase the number of jobs there. Also on the new Peckham levels, which is the multi storey car park project, that will create 600 jobs in the next 12 to 24 months and for many years supporting more employment and one of the reasons that was the winning bid was that it had very strong criteria over the local businesses both Peckham businesses and Southwark businesses coming in and setting up businesses and we are expecting very high levels of performance to get those local businesses set up. Yes, I will come to the community council in March. We will look at diaries, get that in and you know, as a Peckham resident, it is one of the best places to live in the borough but as a

Camberwell councillor it would be remiss of me not to mention how equally, if not slightly more fantastic Camberwell is as the heart of the borough, as are all of our areas I am sure we would agree.

17. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC REALM FROM COUNCILLOR JON HARTLEY (DULWICH COMMUNITY COUNCIL)

Could the cabinet member for environment and public realm say whether there has been any progress on the consultative work undertaken by the council particularly in light of recent (public realm issues) in the Dulwich community council area and outline whether there are any steps the council could take in improving its consultation?

RESPONSE

The two workshops I attended in Dulwich in the last month have been very good. It gave me the opportunity to hear a wide range of views in relation to transport in general and cycling in particular in the area.

The outcomes of the workshops on Dulwich Community Council will be discussed on 2 December. There is always more we can do to improve our consultation in public realm and transport matters and I am working closely with officers on improving our approach and in particular trying hard to reach out to a wider cross-section of our community. The council's new on-line consultation hub is enhancing our on-line service for public realm and transport schemes; however, we will be doing more to improve our approach in the New Year. This is demonstrated by our enhanced pre-consultation engagement work undertaken by Sustrans on recent cycling Quietway schemes and with the large response we have had in Dulwich. We also included map-based on-line consultations as part of early engagement on two recent Quietway consultations, these were well received.

18. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, MODERNISATION AND PERFORMANCE FROM COUNCILLOR JAMILLE MOHAMMED

Can the cabinet member provide an update on the implementation of Universal Credit?

RESPONSE

Universal Credit (UC) rollout in Southwark commenced from 4 November 2015 and is so far limited to a single postcode district in the north of the borough (SE1 5~~).

The rollout is limited to new entrants and the great majority of those living in that area, and who are already claiming one or more of the existing working age benefits (income support, jobseekers allowance income based, employment and support allowance income based, working tax credit, child tax credit or housing benefit), will continue to do so and will be unaffected at this time. Pension age households will be entirely untouched by UC rollout.

The numbers of UC claimants to date is small and in line with forecasts. In the first week of UC rollout fifteen claims were made and we expect weekly claim numbers to remain at or about that level until such time as DWP decide to roll out UC to other parts of the borough.

The council is prepared for UC implementation to date and has entered into an operational delivery agreement with the DWP that confirms our readiness. Support is available and easily accessible for anyone claiming UC who may need help with

aspects of the new system. For example, the way that UC is to be offered as a single household payment paid monthly; that UC will be provided as a digital service for almost all claimants or that housing costs will in most cases no longer be paid to the claimant's landlord.

However, the council has a number of serious concerns about UC implementation and its potentially negative impacts. We are engaging the DWP and we will of course be monitoring impacts on the ground very closely as UC rollout proceeds.

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, MODERNISATION AND PERFORMANCE FROM COUNCILLOR JAMILLE MOHAMMED

Thank you Madam Mayor. I want to thank the cabinet member for her thorough response. I do have a supplemental question. You mentioned that you have serious concerns about the impact of Universal Credit on residents. Could you explain what those are and what actions you are taking about them?

RESPONSE

Thank you. I would like to thank Councillor Mohammed for your question. Yes we have had some serious concerns about the rollout of Universal Credit in SE15, part of Bermondsey, and our most serious concerns relate to its application to temporary accommodation residents. The application of Universal Credit to temporary accommodation residents has not been piloted or tested in any scale, yet has been included in this rollout of universal digital. There are significant amounts of temporary accommodation in SE15 and there will be shortly even more when our new units open on Willow Walk. As members all know, the cost to the council of temporary accommodation currently stands at around three times our allocated budget with £2.5 million overspent last year that had to be contained from our contingency budget due to the increasing numbers of homelessness cases and rising housing costs. This is even after ensuring that our temporary accommodation residents claim their housing benefit or local housing allowance that they are entitled to. We are concerned about the application of universal credit to temporary accommodation residents due to its complexity to claim, the turnaround times, the payment in arrears, and its being paid direct to residents rather than to landlords. So we have real concerns about how much of the universal credit benefit will be recovered in rent payments and particularly as it has not been tested.

Furthermore, for housing benefit and local housing allowance, we currently receive around a £45 per week management fee to help defray the costs of our work to support temporary accommodation residents. Now back in March 2014, we were told a similar payment would be made for universal credit claimants but that a new legal framework would be needed. But even now, several weeks into the rollout of Universal Credit, that framework is not in place and now today in the Chancellor's statement he has announced that this fee will shortly be scrapped with no detail about what will replace it or whether the amount of money we will see will be comparable. So given that temporary accommodation cost is consistently the greatest and most difficult pressure on our budget, those greatest over spends, to hear that this situation may be worsened further due to ineptly introduced welfare reform is simply unacceptable.

Now our officers have been engaging very closely with the DWP (Department for Work and Pensions) over the introduction of Universal Credit, raising these matters again and again and again. To see them having no impact and this not being addressed is simply unacceptable. I will be writing tomorrow following the announcement that we

had today. I have got to make some amendments to the letter, I shall be writing tomorrow to the Right Honourable Ian Duncan Smith about that and I will be copying in our local MPs. I am hoping they are listening and ask them to join us in lobbying the Secretary of State to personally intervene in this matter and to make sure that we can control the costs of temporary accommodation and properly support homeless residents who will be claiming Universal Credit.

19. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, MODERNISATION AND PERFORMANCE FROM COUNCILLOR MAISIE ANDERSON

In expanding the council's digital offer and making more services available on the internet, can the cabinet member tell us what is being done to ensure more vulnerable residents are not left behind?

RESPONSE

The council has an ongoing project to ensure more vulnerable residents and their carers have the best possible opportunity to learn to use computers and the internet.

The Go-On Southwark digital inclusion project has 15 digital inclusion hubs based in local communities. There has been a donation of two laptops or tablet computers to each hub, a grant to install broadband where this is lacking, and 40 people have been trained as volunteer Digital Champions by Thames Reach Academy. The hubs are: Silverlock TRA; Elmington TRA; SGTO; Buchan TRA; Crawford TRA; North Peckham TRA; Lucy Brown Sheltered Housing Unit; Neckinger TRA; Southwark Travellers Action Group; Cambridge House Settlement; Blackfriars Settlement; Southwark HourBank; Time and Talents Settlement; Southwark Deaf Forum; Nunhead Community Centre.

There are 27 more volunteers being trained by the end of December. We expect 500 people to learn digital skills in 2015/16. The Tenants Fund Management Committee has indicated that they would like to support another 15 Tenant and Resident Associations to be digital hubs, if funding is available. The council staff team working on this project are already offering support to community, tenant and faith groups wishing to bid for a small grant of less than £5,000 to set themselves up as a Digital Hub. This work is supported by the council and other partners and includes sharing what has worked so far.

20. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, MODERNISATION AND PERFORMANCE FROM COUNCILLOR BILL WILLIAMS

Opposition councillors recently criticised the council for the new Director of Modernise role – can the cabinet member explain what this role will bring to the council?

RESPONSE

Whilst I appreciate the entertainment value of the job title, the Director of Modernise will have a very serious job to do.

The post holder will be responsible for large scale support services for the council's workforce and workplace including IT, Corporate Facilities Management, Human Resources, Organisational Development and business transformation.

The role provides strategic leadership for these services and the corporate responsibility for the modernisation of all council functions, including the successful delivery of the workforce strategy, IT strategy and digital business strategy.

With the council facing budget reductions of a £96m over the next three years it is essential that we radically transform and modernise the way we operate as a business, increasing productivity and efficiency and the quality of online customer services to enable us to further reduce back office costs and protect services for vulnerable residents.

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, MODERNISATION AND PERFORMANCE FROM COUNCILLOR BILL WILLIAMS

Thank you Madam Mayor. Setting aside any reference to London 2012 and the June Olympics and W1A, can she explain how modernising the council's services will impact residents in Southwark, for example, more services being delivered online?

RESPONSE

Thank you Councillor Williams. I have to say I was entertained by the comparison of the Director of Modernise post to the Director of Better in W1A but I am not as much a fan of W1A as the Director of Better is appointed and spends the entire series looking off to a colleague and she doesn't have the slightest clue what she is meant to be doing. Now there is absolutely no danger whatsoever that the Director of Modernise at Southwark Council won't know what is expected of them. It is to lead on IT, on corporate FM, HR, to lead the change and the modernisation that we simply need to see in this organisation.

21. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, MODERNISATION AND PERFORMANCE FROM COUNCILLOR HAMISH MCCALLUM

Can the cabinet member update members on the council's 'Modernise' programme?

RESPONSE

The council has for some time used its "Modernise" brand to badge many change and transformation initiatives. It was first used when 160 Tooley Street was acquired and a large number of services moved into the building. Since then it has been applied to other initiatives including the Customer Access Programme, digital service delivery initiatives, and corporate service improvement programmes.

The council recognises that in order to positively meet the challenges of decreasing budgets, yet continue to meet the needs of customers, services will need to transform and modernise rapidly.

Modernise forms an important part of the council's recent senior management reorganisation. A Director of Modernise will be recruited shortly who will be responsible for the key enabling services of HR, Organisational Development, IT and Corporate Facilities Management.

They will lead on a review of all council functions and set in train a raft of modernising projects to transform the way services are delivered, including the refinement of the council's workforce, accommodation and digital strategies.

22. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, MODERNISATION AND PERFORMANCE FROM COUNCILLOR NICK DOLEZAL

What impact does the cabinet member believe the Chancellor's proposals for an additional 30% cut to the Department of Communities and Local Government will have on Southwark's budget and the implications for services?

RESPONSE

Since 2010, local government has experienced unprecedented reductions in government funding. During this period, Southwark has been one of the most significantly affected local authorities not only in London, but across the whole of the country. The council has delivered £156m of savings as funding has been cut and as costs and demands for services have increased. At the same time, we have seen the emerging impact of welfare reform policies being imposed by this government and the crisis in the London housing market and especially the implications for homelessness in the borough.

In September, cabinet received a report that highlighted the new pressures moving forward. At that time, London Councils were projecting further cuts in funding over the next three years of around £76m; taking all other factors into account such as inflation, pay awards and demand for services that leave a total gap of £96m to be met through further savings. At that meeting, cabinet refreshed the council's Fairer Future budget principles that will be upheld as we seek to achieve this target and to manage our finances and balance our budget while we continue to support the residents of Southwark and not least the most vulnerable in our community.

On 25 November 2015 (today) we have heard the announcement by the Chancellor of the Comprehensive Spending Review that sets out the immediate challenge for local government and cabinet will be receiving a further report on 8 December 2015 updating our forward looking budget position and changes that will be needed to our Medium Term Resourcing Strategy to deal with the future loss of funding. We will continue to consult on the proposals contained within this forthcoming report, mindful that Southwark residents have consistently given us clear messages over a number of years that want us to protect the most vulnerable and front line services, cut back office functions as deeply as possible and to be more efficient. These priorities will remain at the heart of our proposals as we await final confirmation of our provisional grant settlement for the next three years that we are unlikely to see until the middle of December.

23. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, MODERNISATION AND PERFORMANCE FROM COUNCILLOR JASMINE ALI

Can the cabinet member provide reassurance that protecting the frontline services that residents value will continue to be prioritised in the council's budget despite the significant cuts?

RESPONSE

Since 2010, even by the government's conservative measures, we have lost £91 million in spending power - in fact as costs and demands for services have increased we've actually had to make £156m of savings. We will not know for sure until mid-December, but the current best estimates are that we face a budget gap of £96m, a further third of our budget, over the next three years.

Throughout this period of funding cuts we have listened to our residents and protected the services they said mattered most and have made large savings in back office staff and management costs.

In the coming years our funding will decrease even further and we are going to have to make some difficult decisions about how we continue to deliver services.

Sadly the extent of the forecast cuts mean that it is impossible for me to guarantee that we will be able to fully protect all front line services in the coming years and this position will not be unique to Southwark. But we will stick to our budget principles and we will continue to invest in our borough, protect key services and deliver on the council's Fairer Future commitments and priorities.

24. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, MODERNISATION AND PERFORMANCE FROM COUNCILLOR PAUL FLEMING

Can the cabinet member indicate the impact on services of the Conservative government's decision to impose an in year cut to the public health grant?

RESPONSE

In June this year, with no prior warning, the Treasury announced that the 2015/16 public health grant to local authorities would be reduced by £200 million. Imposing an in-year cut of this nature with no warning is completely unacceptable and makes it impossible for local authorities to have any planning certainty in setting budgets for the year.

Following some months of consultation the council has now been advised that £1.6m will be deducted from the 2015/16 Public Health Grant previously notified. This amount had been fully committed by the council to public health activities that are ring fenced under the terms of this grant. Immediate action has been taken to freeze any non-essential and uncommitted spend on public health services.

Contracts are currently being reviewed to consider management action and options for implementing the in year cut.

There will be unavoidable impact from such a significant budget cut by government – we of course will do all we can to mitigate that impact on our community, prioritising the remaining spend on our most pressing challenges, such as childhood obesity and tackling health inequalities to deliver a fairer future for all.

On a wider scale, it is likely that continued cuts to public health funding will have a significant impact on NHS spending and therefore increase costs in the long term. The announcement of this cut raises serious questions about the government's commitment to health prevention, which has the potential to improve health outcomes as well as being more cost effective in the long term.

25. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH, PARKS AND LEISURE FROM COUNCILLOR SANDRA RHULE

Can the cabinet member provide an update on the implementation of the Fairer Future promise to introduce Free Gym and Swim access for all residents in Southwark?

RESPONSE

The council believes that the best way to be proactive about health and wellbeing is by prevention, and by making it easier for people to make healthier choices and to achieve a more active lifestyle.

The council also recognises how tough the issue of obesity is nationally and locally, especially among young people, and over the last few years we have been looking at how to proactively tackle the issue head on. Our council plan promise of Free Gym and Swim is a step forward for making it easier for people to make healthier choices and to remove the economic barriers so our residents can achieve a more active lifestyle.

The application process for the Southwark Free Swim and Gym scheme was opened on Saturday 21 March 2015 and the pilot scheme was launched on 23 May 2015 to Southwark residents aged 18 and under or over 60. This phased implementation was to manage the demand for the scheme and to learn lessons leading up to the launch of the general offer in July 2016 which will be delivered through the new leisure management contract.

Since the application process opened over 6,500 residents have signed up the scheme. From the pilot launch in May to October there was 12,377 attendances, this includes 4,527 18s-and-under and 7,850 over 60s.

A full update report on the free swim and gym scheme is planned for February 2016 to coincide with the Gateway 2 report for the Leisure Management Contract procurement.

26. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH, PARKS AND LEISURE FROM COUNCILLOR LEO POLLAK

Could the cabinet member update council assembly on consultation with the public and stakeholders in arriving at firm plans for major investment and improvements for Southwark Park?

RESPONSE

As one of London's oldest parks, Southwark Park is an important part of the history of Southwark. There has been significant capital investment in Southwark Park's infrastructure, but some areas remain in need of improvement. The café is unable to adequately meet the needs of the many park visitors and the current public toilets are only accessible during café opening hours. The park office doubles as an information point and accommodation for staff and is housed in a temporary structure of minimal visual attraction or distinction.

The investment is for the central area masterplan of Southwark Park which will see the park office, café and public toilets relocated to the hub of the park where the gallery, lake and children's playground are located. The investment will also see the Old Nursery site transformed into a controlled use area for use by local schools and community groups.

This project has been driven by The Friends of Southwark Park who have been active in applying for funding applications towards improving the Old Nursery site. They were successful in securing a Cleaner Greener Safer bid towards this project.

The first phase of consultation was carried out in February – March 2015. 216 individuals completed the survey, 70 per cent of whom lived within the SE1 area. Consultation was carried out through a survey, exhibition, stakeholder event and Residents event (for those living in Gomm Road and Ann Moss Way).

The questionnaire was advertised through a wide range of channels, including via the council website and Twitter account, on posters displayed across the park, in emails and letters sent to stakeholders, and in leaflets distributed to approximately 6,000 households in the vicinity of the park.

The majority of advertisements carried a link to an online version of the survey, while hard copies were available at the park exhibition and at the public and stakeholder meetings that were held.

During the exhibition display boards illustrating the architects' vision of how the changes would look were installed near the play area at the Gomm Road entrance. The exhibition was advertised on the council website, on posters within the park and via the council's Twitter account. It was open daily from 10am until 2pm during the February half term holiday (16 – 20 February), and during the same time window at weekends from 21 February until the closure of the consultation.

The stakeholder event provided organisations with a key interest in the park with an opportunity to discuss the plans with Southwark Council staff. After the plans had been outlined to the group, a question and answer session was held, and attendees were encouraged to fill in the online survey. Groups in attendance included:

- Friends of Southwark Park
- Southwark Park Café management
- Parks staff
- Mayflower Tenants and Residents Association
- Cavendish School
- Compass School
- Bermondsey Artists
- London City Athletics Club
- Rotherhithe ward councillors.

The residents' event was attended by residents of Gomm Road and Ann Moss Way. Attendees provided feedback on the plans during a twenty-minute question and answer session with Southwark staff. Three options of each scheme were presented to the public via all these channels. For the Old Nursery site these were:

- a. Option A: Creation of formal gardens with option for a new park entrance
- b. Option B: Creation of informal gardens with option for a new park entrance
- c. Option C: Creation of an access only area for school or community use.

Option C was the preferred option with 44 per cent of the respondents choosing this one. Primary concerns regarding an open access site related to safety and security; residents felt uncomfortable with the idea of park users being able to get so close to their homes and back gardens.

The three options for the location of the park office, public toilets and café were as follows:

- a. Option A: New building incorporating all three located close to the existing park office

- b. Option B: New building incorporating all three located close to the existing park office but orientated to provide views from the café towards the play area.
- c. Option C: Refurbishment of the existing gallery building and extended to form a combined park café, art gallery and park office.

Option C was the preferred location with 47 per cent of the respondents choosing this one. Residents ascribed particular importance to the idea that any new toilet facilities should not be 'out of sight' and felt that Option C delivered this, as well as being most likely to maximise the use of the existing café gallery. The second phase of consultation will take place in January 2016 following the development of a detailed design by the appointed building and landscape architect.

27. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH, PARKS AND LEISURE FROM COUNCILLOR KATH WHITTAM

Can the cabinet member provide an update on progress towards a brand new track and field facility for Southwark?

RESPONSE

The installation of the new athletics facilities at Southwark Park have progressed well over 2015. The phase 1 project which has focused on reinstating the athletics track and field facilities are due to complete by the end of the year, although the facility will not be usable until the grass pitch has established in spring/summer 2016. The building refurbishment project (phase 2) will seek planning approval in December 2015, and it is envisaged that the works will be complete in summer 2016.

28. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH, PARKS AND LEISURE FROM COUNCILLOR REBECCA LURY

Could the cabinet member provide an update on progress towards meeting the commitment to double the number of health checks received by the public?

RESPONSE

I am delighted to inform council that – this week – we passed our 2015 target to provide 7,000 healthchecks to the people of Southwark. We are therefore well on course to meet our aim to double the number of healthchecks in Southwark by 2018.

I would encourage everyone who is eligible for a healthcheck to get down to their local GP or one of our new pharmacy pilots and take up this important offer.

A healthcheck can help prevent heart disease, stroke, diabetes, kidney disease and certain types of dementia. But a healthcheck is more than just an important check – our expert staff provide support and help for those who want to eat better, exercise more or improve their health in other ways. For those in need of help, a healthcheck can be the first step towards reaching the right clinical help; or to access exercise, stop smoking and weight management services to make those important first steps towards an improved lifestyle.

In the coming months, the council will be refreshing our agreement with the local CCG for provision of local public health services – and our commitment around healthchecks will be at the heart of this new agreement.

29. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH, PARKS AND LEISURE FROM COUNCILLOR MARTIN SEATON

Could the cabinet member set out plans for the latest stage of investment in Burgess Park?

RESPONSE

A significant consultation on the Burgess Park master plan was undertaken in early 2015. The objective of this consultation was to gauge what people who use the park think about the Burgess Park master plan with a view to updating the plan prior to delivering the next phase of improvements. The consultation also sought to identify the priorities in terms of improvements for the next phase of delivery.

There were two phases of consultation and each phase received approximately 2000 responses. The final master plan can be viewed on the council's website (http://www.southwark.gov.uk/downloads/download/3998/shape_the_future_of_burgess_park).

People who use the park have told us what they want us to do next, and as a result we will be investing £7.2 million (capital funding plus section 106 funding) into:

- the southern entrance project
- installing new toilets near the lake
- improving the toilets at Chumleigh Gardens
- repairing the Glengall Wharf garden wall
- improving drainage on the east side of the park.

Planning permission has been approved for both the Chumleigh toilets and lake toilets with the aim of having both projects completed by the summer of 2016.

Consultation has been undertaken on the southern entrance project with a further round of consultation planned in the new year prior to submitting a planning application in the summer of 2016.

The concept proposals for the southern entrance include increased green space through compulsory purchasing three parcels of adjacent land, a new play area, increased biodiversity including a large pond, outdoor gym, cycle ways and increased planting.

We will also be bidding to sports governing bodies to try and secure further funding to improve the Sports Centre hub and tennis courts.

30. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ADULT CARE AND FINANCIAL INCLUSION FROM COUNCILLOR KIERON WILLIAMS

Following the decision of HC-One to close their Camberwell Green nursing home, can the cabinet member provide assurance that the council is doing everything possible to help the residents and their families to find alternative good quality care?

RESPONSE

HC-One made the decision to close Camberwell Green nursing home on 23 September 2015. There are currently 38 residents in the nursing home, of which 25 places are funded by the local authority. The council is overseeing the safe transfer of all residents to new placements and Southwark Adult Social Care (ASC) is working

closely with NHS colleagues to ensure that suitable placements are secured for all of the affected residents.

Support offered by London Borough of Southwark to residents and families

A team of social workers are currently reviewing residents and linking with their families to assess their individual needs and preferences. The CCG is actively seeking placements for residents whom they fund. Other local authorities that have residents placed at Camberwell Green have been notified and are working towards finding alternative placements. The council's social work team is also providing advice and support to residents and their families who are 'Self Funders'.

The council's community social work team has attended residents and relatives meetings. Each resident has a named social worker that they can contact and is regularly available at the home. Advocates have been commissioned for residents without family.

Adult social care (ASC) officers holds regular meetings with HC-One to monitor progress and weekly conference calls are held between ASC, Camberwell Green management and other key professionals to provide updates on the location of placements and the movement of residents.

Residents and their families who have requested placements outside of the borough are supported by ASC care brokerage team to find suitable placements in their area of choice and to negotiate fees.

Alternative nursing home provision in Southwark

The majority of residents and their families would like to remain in Southwark. However, presently there is an embargo on placing new residents at the two other nursing homes in Southwark - Tower Bridge, which is managed by HC-One, and Burgess Park run by Four Seasons.

The embargos were put in place by Southwark due to concerns in regards to the standards of care delivered by these nursing homes. The council and the CCG have been working closely with the management of these homes to improve standards of care.

Both Tower Bridge and Burgess Park have new managers in place, who with the support of their respective organisations have been making significant improvements to clinical standards of care. ASC senior management have been meeting with regional managers of HC-One and Four Seasons to discuss improvements in Tower Bridge and Burgess Park and the sustainability of care provision in Southwark.

The ASC commissioning team and safeguarding adults team has been visiting the homes regularly to monitor progress. It is hoped that both of these homes will come off embargo at the next CQC inspection, which is due imminently.

It is important to note that we are working in the context of a national shortage of nursing home accommodation which is hampering the location of placements outside of Southwark. Of the 25 Southwark funded residents, 16 have requested to remain in borough. When Tower Bridge and Burgess Park care homes come off embargo there will be sufficient placements available to provide alternative accommodation in Southwark.

We are currently working on an Accommodation With Care Strategy for the borough, which will enable us to make changes for the future, to increase local choice of high quality care in the borough. Councillors will have the opportunity to comment on that early next year.

31. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ADULT CARE AND FINANCIAL INCLUSION FROM COUNCILLOR MARIA LINFORTH-HALL

Can the cabinet member set out exactly what targeted consultation took place with mental health service users regarding the council's new adult social care fairer contributions policy?

RESPONSE

We carried out targeted and extensive consultation with our mental health service users by sending out individual letters and consultation documents, including a link to the online information and telephone details. This was sent to all known recipients of non-residential mental health services.

Consultation documents were also sent to voluntary sector organisations working with mental health service users and we held two consultation meetings, one for the voluntary sector on 15 July 2015 and one for service users on 25 August 2015 and questions were encouraged at both meetings. These meetings were an invaluable part of the consultation process.

Mental health service users were also encouraged to phone or email any responses to the consultation and these were collated and taken into account within the full consultation process.

We excluded service users who are covered under Section 117 of the Mental Health Act as these residents who are entitled to free care when they leave hospital.

Our social work teams were also given all of the consultation information to enable them to refer enquiries on behalf of service users.

32. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN AND SCHOOLS FROM COUNCILLOR JAMES BARBER

Can the cabinet member report on how many truancy fines are issued each year by each school in the borough, how many are paid/unpaid and how many parents are prosecuted?

RESPONSE

The fines that the council directly administers in relation to attendance are fixed penalty notices, introduced in August 2014 and issued primarily in respect of holiday-related unauthorised absence during term time.

Between August 2014 and November 2015, 128 fixed penalty notices have been issued. 13 fines remained unpaid after 28 days.

39% of FPN referrals from schools were from academy/non-maintained schools.

33. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN AND SCHOOLS FROM COUNCILLOR JAMES OKOSUN

Please set out, broken down by year, the numbers of parents in Southwark who have lodged appeals over their children not being offered a place at their chosen school and the percentage whose appeal was successful over each of the past five years.

RESPONSE

Year	No of Appeals	No of Appeals Upheld
2010/11	54	14
2011/12	53	14
2012/13	73	4
2013/14	32	2
2014/15	52	4
2015/16	29	1

34. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC REALM FROM COUNCILLOR DAMIAN O'BRIEN

Can the cabinet member update councillors on the TfL-funded scheme to stop idling vehicle engines and reduce emissions around Tower Bridge?

RESPONSE

The Tower Bridge Anti-Idling Project was funded under the Mayor's Air Quality Fund and is entirely funded by a combination of the Mayor's Air Quality Fund, LB Southwark LIP, LB Tower Hamlets and DEFRA.

The project is dedicated to improving air quality in the capital and tackling emissions from all sources, including transport, buildings and construction and demolition sites. It is also designed to raise awareness about the dangers of allowing engines to remain idle.

The project involves the erection of variable message signage on approach roads to Tower Bridge which ask drivers to switch off their engines when Tower Bridge is open. The project is for the signage to be in operation for six months and air quality monitoring before, during and after the operation.

There have been delays to the project and these have largely related to:

1. Obtaining access to a data feed from TfL (data on bridge opening times) and;
2. Difficulties in the installation of appropriate air quality monitoring equipment.

Funding table below:

Tower Bridge anti idling	Amount in £,000			
	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	Total funding
Funding from Mayor's AQ fund	40	55	5	100
Southwark - Lip	17	17	6	40
Tower Hamlets	10	10	10	30
DEFRA (via Tower Hamlets)	20	0	0	20
TOTAL	87	82	21	190

35. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC REALM FROM COUNCILLOR ROSIE SHIMELL

Can the cabinet member report on progress with proposals to exempt or offer a lower charge to residents on lower incomes from the council's new bulky waste collection fee?

RESPONSE

Overview and scrutiny committee recommended that consideration and evidence is given within 3 months in regards to giving exemption or offering a number of [bulky waste] collections free of charge to the poorest and most vulnerable residents.

There are financial, policy and practical implications associated with this recommendation and investigatory work is required to determine the response. The investigations include making contact with other London boroughs to seek information about how their bulky waste collection services operate.

This work is on-going and as yet is not complete.

A full response will be provided to the January 2016 overview and scrutiny committee.

36. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR COMMUNITIES AND SAFETY FROM COUNCILLOR ELIZA MANN

Please outline any changes to community safety services for residents as part of the council's recent service restructure and what public consultation has taken place either before or during these changes.

RESPONSE

The recent management restructure has not affected front line services, with the same services provided to the same standards to residents as before.

The real benefit of the restructure is that as well as making savings from management rather than front line staff, it has enabled us to bring all the enforcement functions within environment and leisure into one service: regulatory services. We can therefore now look at all of these functions to ensure that we have a more streamlined and efficient response to problems such as busking and fly-tipping.

37. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING FROM COUNCILLOR DAVID HUBBER

Please set out the level of housing right-to-buy receipts to the council over the past five years and how much has been spent within three years locally on new council/affordable homes.

RESPONSE

Councils have been able to use some of the receipts from Right to Buy (RTB) improve or build housing stock since 1999. Prior to April 2012, there were no restrictions on how they could be used and so receipts were primarily used to fund the council's cyclical repairs programme.

2010/11 RTB receipts retained: £2.9m. A further £0.5m was remitted to government.

2011/12 RTB receipts retained: £2.1m. A further £1.5m was remitted to government.

From April 2012, Southwark entered into an agreement with the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) to enable it to retain a large element of RTB receipts as a contribution towards building new homes. The remainder of these receipts are either remitted to government or used for debt repayment.

	2012/13 £m	2013/14 £m	2014/15 £m	Total £m
Gross RTB receipts	15.3	48.5	61.1	124.9
Less: Purchaser discount	-6.8	-23.6	-29.4	-59.8
Net RTB receipts	8.5	24.9	31.7	65.1
Less: amount retained for debt repayments/ buyback	-2.1	-6.0	-7.7	-15.8
Less: amount remitted to government	-3.0	-3.5	-3.8	-10.3
Retained receipts for new build	3.4	15.4	20.2	39.0

DCLG stipulated that the RTB receipts could fund no more than 30% of the cost of the new homes and must be spent within three years of receipt. The council therefore applies receipts, oldest first, to new build expenditure once it arises in subsequent years. The council must also find other funding sources to cover at least 70% of the cost for each development.

As a result, the £39m of RTB receipts retained will be used over the period to 2017/18 to provide around 24% of the funding for the new build programme which is projected to spend £165m to that stage. Developer contributions, grant, borrowing and other capital receipts make up the remaining 76% of funding. Beyond 2017/18 similar sources of funding will be used as they arise.

The figures for the use of these RTB receipts from 2012/13, 213/14 and 2014/15 are set out below.

2012/13 RTB (94 properties sold) Right to Buy receipts retained for new build Receipts applied to new build capital schemes	£3.4m £0.1m in 2012/13 £0.6m in 2013/14 £2.6m in 2014/15 £0.1m in 2015/16 projected
2013/14 RTB (250 properties sold) Right to Buy receipts retained for new build Receipts applied to new build capital schemes	£15.4m £10.5m in 2015/16 projected £4.9m in 2016/17 projected
2014/15 RTB (304 properties sold) Right to Buy receipts retained for new build Receipts applied to new build capital schemes	£20.2m £6.3m in 2016/17 projected £13.9m in 2017/18 projected

38. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR REGENERATION AND NEW HOMES FROM COUNCILLOR LUCAS GREEN

Could the cabinet member update me on progress on securing 44 social rent units from Notting Hill Housing Trust to replace the units that should have been delivered at The Exchange in Bermondsey Spa?

RESPONSE

See question 7.

39. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR REGENERATION AND NEW HOMES FROM COUNCILLOR LISA RAJAN

What benefits have British Land guaranteed the borough in return for the council changing its previous preferred site for the new leisure centre at Canada Water to the wildlife area in summer 2015, including the number of any social housing units?

RESPONSE

The regeneration of Canada Water offers a unique opportunity for a significant new town centre with thousands of new homes and jobs, as well as a new leisure centre.

The cabinet has not changed its preferred site for the new leisure centre. In 2013 an initial piece of work looked in isolation at where a new leisure centre might be built, without the benefit of a comprehensive masterplan for the whole of Canada Water and without consultation with landowners which is essential to ensure delivery. We now have the opportunity to include the new facility as part of the comprehensive planning of an entire new town centre which means that the 2013 report no longer has much practical application.

Cabinet determined in November 2014 to invest £2m in maintaining the existing Seven Islands Leisure while a new facility is developed within the emerging Canada Water town centre. Subsequently a preferred site for the new facility was identified and has now been confirmed within phase one of the British Land masterplan. There will be detailed consultation with local residents and those from the wider area on this preferred location before a final decision is taken next year.

This month cabinet agreed a Heads of Terms document with British Land for a development agreement that will see a major 5.5m sq. ft. brand new town centre regeneration of Canada Water. This agreement covers three main sites: the former Print Works, the Surrey Quays Shopping Centre and the Mast Leisure Centre. In combination this 46 acre site provides a unique opportunity to develop a new town centre for Central London comprising new homes, affordable homes, offices, shops, leisure, restaurants, parks and open spaces.

At a time of austerity when other councils are closing down leisure facilities, Southwark is planning to invest over £20 million in a brand new leisure centre for the residents of Canada Water, Rotherhithe, and Bermondsey, replacing the outdated facility at Seven Islands. The masterplan is now in development with extensive consultation planned for early in the New Year.

This page is intentionally blank.

**COUNCIL ASSEMBLY AGENDA DISTRIBUTION LIST (OPEN) (MINUTES)
MUNICIPAL YEAR 2015/16**

NOTE: Original held by Constitutional Team; all amendments/queries to
Lesley John Tel: 020 7525 7228

ONE COPY TO ALL UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED		Copies	To	Copies
Councillors (1 copy each)		55	Others	1
Electronic Versions (No hard copy)			Elizabeth Olive, Audit Commission	1
Councillor Anood Al-Samerai			Ground Floor, Tooley Street	
Councillor Maisie Anderson				
Councillor Fiona Colley				
Councillor Karl Eastham				
Councillor Gavin Edwards				
Councillor Jon Hartley				
Councillor Sarah King				
Councillor Michael Situ				
Group Offices		2		
Aine Gallagher, Labour Group Office		1		
Niko Baar, Liberal Democrat Group Office		1		
Libraries		2		
Albion / Newington		1 each		
Officers		4		
Eleanor Kelly		1		
Duncan Whitfield		1		
Doreen Forrester-Brown		1		
Ian Millichap		1		
Constitutional Team		15		
(Copies to Lesley John, 2 nd Floor, Hub 4, Tooley Street)				
			Total:	90
			Last Updated: June 2015	